## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# WELFARE REFORM DATA MONITORING

Data through September 2008

Prepared by:

Michigan Department of Human Services Budget Division

October 2008

www.michigan.gov/dhs

## WELFARE REFORM DATA MONITORING

This monitoring report is part of a broad ranging project to assess the impact of Michigan's welfare reform initiatives. It is intended to be a management information and project monitoring tool but is not, in itself, an evaluation of Michigan's welfare reform.

- The report includes data assembled from many source documents and may differ from data displayed in various Agency publications.
- Some of the data displayed is fiscal year data; other is calendar year data. Displays are appropriately marked.
- Some of the data is point-in-time and some is cumulative. Cumulative data will be greater than point-in-time data.
- No conclusions have been or should be drawn from the data and its use is subject to interpretation.

# Table Of Contents

Welfare Reform in Michigan - Overview	1
Financial Assistance	
Overview	5
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) – Five Year Time Limit	
FIP Caseload, Monthly Data	10
FIP Caseload, FY 2000 - FY 2009	11
FIP Non-Two-Parent and Two-Parent Caseload, Monthly Data	12
FIP Non-Two-Parent and Two-Parent Caseload, FY 1999 – 2008	13
FIP Cases with Earned Income, Monthly Data	
FIP Cases with Earned Income, 1999 – 2008	15
FIP Earned Income Cases by County	16
FIP Two-Parent Cases with Earned Income, Monthly Data	22
FIP Two-Parent Cases with Earned Income, 1999 - 2008	23
FIP Cases Closed Due to Excess Earned Income, Monthly Data	
FIP Cases Closed Due to Excess Earned Income, 1999 – 2008	25
FIP Length of Time on Assistance	
FIP Minor Parent Grantee Cases, Monthly Data	27
FIP Minor Parent Grantee Cases, 1998 - 2008	28
Food Assistance Households, Monthly Data	29
Food Assistance Households, FY 2000 - FY 2008	
SDA Caseload, Monthly Data	
SDA Caseload, FY 2000 - FY 2008	32
SSI Recipients, Monthly Data	33
SSI Recipients, 1999 - 2008	
Child Development and Care Program Caseload, Monthly Data	
Child Development and Care Program Caseload, FY 2000 - FY 2008	
Amount Collected From State Assigned Child Support Cases, FY 2004 – 2008	
Arrears Collected From State Assigned Child Support Cases, FY 2004 – 2008	38
Net Child Support Collections. FY 1999 – FY 2008.	39

# Table Of Contents, continued

Children's Services	
Overview	4 <sup>2</sup>
Special Initiatives	43
Children's Protective Services Complaints Investigated, Monthly Data	4
Children's Protective Services Complaints Investigated, FY 1998 - FY 2007	
Families First Services Successful Program Outcomes, FY 1997 - FY 2007	
Families First Services Relative Placements, FY 1997 - FY 2007	
Preventive Services for Families, Monthly Data	
Abuse/Neglect Caseload (Foster Care Program), Monthly Data	
Abuse/Neglect Caseload (Foster Care Program), 1999 - 2008	
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements, Monthly Data	
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements (Foster Care Program) by County by County	53
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements (Foster Care Program), 1999 - 2008	54
Adoptive Placements, 1998 - 2007	
Adoption Subsidy Cases, 1998 - 2007	56
Adoption Subsidy Expenses by Program, FY 1998 - FY 2007	57
Juvenile Justice Caseload, Monthly Data	58
Juvenile Justice Caseload, 1999 - 2008	59
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements, Monthly Data	60
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements by County	6 <sup>2</sup>
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements, 1999 - 2008	62
<b>Employment And Training</b>	
Overview	64
Federal Participation Rate Targets	
Work First Referrals by County	
Work First Referrals, Monthly Data	
Work First Referrals 2002 - 2007	
FIP Recipients Who Are Active Work First, Monthly Data	
FIP Recipients Who Are Active Work First 2001 - 2008.	
Active Work First Participants by County	

# Table Of Contents, continued

Closures to Employment-Related Sanctions	
Poverty Data	
Poverty Thresholds	82
Poverty Guidelines	83

#### WELFARE REFORM IN MICHIGAN

### **Overview**

#### TO STRENGTHEN MICHIGAN FAMILIES

Michigan implemented *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)* in October 1992. Based on federal policy waivers, this welfare reform initiative encouraged work and personal responsibility. TSMF included policies that:

- Encouraged employment.
- Targeted child support.
- Increased personal responsibility.
- Involved communities.

Among the TSMF policy initiatives were the following:

- Encouraging parents to remain together by eliminating work history requirements and work limitations.
- Disregarding the first \$200 plus 20% of the remaining earned income from cash assistance payments.
- Providing transitional child care and medical coverage when cash assistance ends due to earnings.
- · Enhancing child support enforcement tools.
- Requiring minor parents to live at home or with an adult guardian.

To symbolize Michigan's TSMF efforts and to reflect the department's changing mission, in 1995 the Michigan Department of Social Services was renamed the Michigan Family Independence Agency. On March 15, 2005 the Michigan Family Independence Agency was renamed the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).

In 1995, DHS also instituted new sanction policies to require cash assistance recipients to cooperate with employment and training expectations. Failure to cooperate resulted in cash assistance and food assistance payments being reduced by 25%. After 12 months of non-cooperation the cash assistance and food assistance cases were closed.

Policies were also implemented during this time to require FIP applicants to attend orientation sessions conducted by the Family Independence Agency and Michigan Works! Agency as a condition of eligibility for benefits. The orientations were used to outline job readiness and job search requirements as a condition of eligibility.

#### **EMPLOYMENT REQUIREMENTS**

Jobs, Education and Training (JET) began in June, 2006. The goal of JET is to achieve employment through training or education for all FIP clients who are required to work. DHS staff will work with clients to identify barriers to employment and to provide the necessary resources to eliminate those barriers. Federal regulations require that states with TANF programs meet federal work participation requirements.

#### TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES

Federal welfare reform legislation created the *Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)* block grant that became effective in October 1996. TANF consolidated federal funding for Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), Emergency Assistance and AFDC job training into a single block grant. Separate funding was provided for child care to support work and work-related activities.

The flexibility contained in TANF allows Michigan to continue and expand policies implemented under TSMF that encourage self-sufficiency and support independence. TANF is used to fund Michigan's cash assistance program for families in addition to a range of financial and social services for those who are poor or vulnerable.

TANF policies and initiatives implemented in 1996 and 1997 included:

- The Aid to Families with Dependent Children Program became the Michigan Family Independence Program. This change emphasized and supported Michigan's welfare reform philosophy and goal of self-sufficiency.
- Eligibility, employment and day care workers became Family Independence Specialists, who called on applicants/recipients in their homes and provided social services. Other workers became Eligibility Specialists who handled non-family cases.
- Sanctions were implemented for new FIP recipients who failed to cooperate with employment and training requirements. Those who did not cooperate with employment and training expectations had their grant and food assistance reduced by 25%. After four months of non-cooperation cases were closed.
- To encourage work, FIP applications counted only cash assets, and the personal asset limit for eligibility was increased to \$3,000.

TANF policies implemented in 1998 and 1999 included:

- All employable adult recipients were referred to Work First and were required to remain active (or face case closure).
- Michigan Works! Agencies expanded opportunities for post-employment training for recipients.
- Michigan Works! Agencies combined education and training, classroom time and unsubsidized employment as ways to meet TANF work participation requirements.

TANF policies implemented from 2000 to the present include:

- Transitional Medical Assistance was implemented to provide one-year of additional Medicaid coverage to working families not covered by health care whose cash assistance case closed because of income.
- Cash assistance recipients are required to participate in employment or employment-related activities up to 40 hours per week.
- The sanction for failure to cooperate with employment and training requirements is immediate closure.
- Jobs, Employment and Training (JET) a program designed to help specified adult recipients become employed.

# FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Overview	5
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) – Five Year Time Limit	7
FIP Caseload, Monthly Data	10
FIP Caseload, FY 1998 - FY 2009	11
FIP Non-Two-Parent and Two-Parent Caseload, Monthly Data	12
FIP Non-Two-Parent and Two-Parent Caseload, FY 1999 - FY 2008	13
FIP Cases with Earned Income, Monthly Data	14
FIP Cases with Earned Income, 1999 – 2008	15
FIP Earned Income Cases by County	16
FIP Two-Parent Cases with Earned Income, Monthly Data	22
FIP Two-Parent Cases with Earned Income, 1999 – 2008	23
FIP Cases Closed Due to Excess Earned Income, Monthly Data	24
FIP Cases Closed Due to Excess Earned Income, 1999 – 2008	25
FIP Length of Time on Assistance	26
FIP Minor Parent Grantee Cases, Monthly Data	27
FIP Minor Parent Grantee Cases, 1997 - 2008	28
Food Assistance Households, Monthly Data	29
Food Assistance Households, FY 2000 - FY 2008	30
SDA Caseload, Monthly Data	
SDA Caseload, FY 2000 - FY 2008	32
SSI Recipients, Monthly Data	33
SSI Recipients, 1999 - 2008	34
Child Development and Care Program Caseload, Monthly Data	35
Child Development and Care Program Caseload, FY 2000 - FY 2008	
Amount Collected From State Assigned Child Support Cases, FY 2004- FY 2008	
Arrears Collected From State Assigned Child Support Cases, FY 2004- FY 2008	38
Net Child Support Collections, FY 1999– FY 2008	39

#### FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

## **Overview**

Financial assistance programs help to meet the financial needs of qualifying individuals and families who need temporary assistance.

#### **Family Independence Program**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) provides monthly cash assistance benefits for families in need. This cash assistance helps offset some of the costs of housing, heat, utilities, personal needs (clothing, household items, *etc.*), and food. FIP recipients are also eligible for Food Assistance benefits, Medicaid and a range of employment and family support services.

#### **Food Assistance Program**

The Food Assistance Program is a financial resource to help low-income individuals and families purchase food. Food Assistance benefits cannot be used to purchase nonfood items such as soap, over-the-counter medicines or vitamins, paper products, *etc.* 

#### **State Disability Assistance Program**

State Disability Assistance (SDA) is a financial assistance program for disabled adults who are unable to work. SDA recipients have little or no resources to buy food, clothing, shelter and personal items. The monthly cash assistance is intended to cover these basic needs. Recipients are also eligible for Food Assistance.

#### **Supplemental Security Income**

Supplemental Security Income (SSI) provides financial assistance to those who are aged, blind or disabled. Eligibility is based on income and assets. Funding is primarily federal and is administered through the Social Security Administration. The state provides and funds an additional supplemental benefit. SSI recipients are automatically eligible for Medicaid.

#### **Child Development and Care**

The Child Development and Care (CDC) Program helps low-income families with child care costs related to employment, education activities and for treatment of a social or health circumstance. Families who receive assistance or services under certain DHS programs are automatically eligible for CDC benefits without considering the amount of their income. Child Care benefits for low-income families are based on family size and income.

#### **Child Support Program**

The Child Support Program assists in obtaining child support benefits from absent parents, locating parents, establishing and enforcing support orders, establishing paternity, and collecting child support payments. In Michigan, there is a cooperative effort with the Friends of the Court, prosecuting attorneys, and Office of Child Support staff to provide services. Services are available to anyone receiving public assistance or upon signed request.

# TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES (TANF) Five Year Time Limit

In August 1996 "The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996" or PRWORA was signed into law. The new welfare reform law (Public Law 104-193) created a program called Temporary Assistance for Needy Families or TANF, in recognition of its focus on moving recipients into work and time-limited assistance. The law stipulates that states may not use federal TANF funds to provide assistance to a family that includes an adult who has received assistance for more than five years. The five-year limit on federal funding is calculated as a cumulative total of 60 months beginning with October 1996.

The law allows some families to receive assistance from federal TANF funds for more than five years based on hardship. The average monthly number of families in hardship status may not exceed 20% of the state's average monthly caseload during that fiscal year.

#### In Michigan:

- Family Independence Program (FIP) recipients begin receiving federally funded TANF assistance in October 1996. FIP recipients began exceeding the 60-month limit on federally funded benefits in October 2001 (the first month of FY 2002).
- Michigan defines hardship as length of time on assistance. Thus, all cases exceeding the 60-month time limit will meet the hardship definition.
- The number of FIP cases that have exceeded the 60-month time reached 9, 469 (13.47% of the caseload) in FY 2007.
- The estimate of FIP cases that will exceed the 60-month time limit in FY 2008 is 10,500 (about 14.5% of the average monthly FIP caseload).
- The number for FY 2007 and estimate for FY 2008 are both within the 20% hardship allowance and may continue to be funded with federal TANF benefits.

## **Five Year Time Limit**

Month/Year	Month Number Over 60	Total Number of FIP Cases	No. of Cases Subject to 60 Mo. Time Limit	Number of Child Only Cases	Number of Cases at 61+ Months	Percent of Total FIP
Feb. 2004	29	77,748	53,212	24,536	8,426	10.84%
Mar. 2004	30	78,532	53,933	24,599	8,555	10.89%
Apr. 2004	31	77,982	53,414	24,568	8,571	10.99%
May 2004	32	77,861	53,299	24,562	8,657	11.12%
June 2004	33	77,636	53,053	24,583	8,755	11.28%
July 2004	34	76,750	52,317	24,433	8,818	11.49%
Aug. 2004	35	77,625	53,103	24,522	8,992	11.58%
Sept. 2004	36	77,354	52,836	24,518	9,014	11.65%
Oct. 2004	37	78,074	53,404	24,670	9,125	11.69%
Nov. 2004	38	78,092	53,376	24,716	9,187	11.76%
Dec. 2004	39	78,256	53,504	24,752	9,294	11.88%
Jan. 2005	40	78,207	53,376	24,831	9,382	12.00%
Feb. 2005	41	78,560	53,828	24,732	9,518	12.12%
Mar. 2005	42	78,478	53,736	24,742	9,593	12.22%
Apr. 2005	43	78,129	53,317	24,812	9,628	12.32%
May 2005	44	77,965	53,124	24,841	9,744	12.50%
June 2005	45	76,895	52,224	24,671	9,824	12.78%
July 2005	46	77,032	52,570	24,462	9,922	12.88%
Aug. 2005	47	77,857	53,354	24,503	10,060	12.92%
Sept. 2005	48	77,493	53,059	24,434	10,068	12.99%
Oct. 2005	49	78,141	53,573	24,598	10,151	12.99%
Nov. 2005	50	78,179	53,526	24,653	10,188	13.03%
Dec. 2005	51	78,776	53,970	24,806	10,278	13.05%
Jan. 2006	52	78,724	53,859	24,865	10,344	13.14%
Feb. 2006	53	78,509	53,710	24,799	10,400	13.25%
Mar. 2006	54	77,875	53,139	24,736	10,487	13.47%
Apr. 2006	55	77,829	53,132	24,697	10,495	13.48%
May 2006	56	78,726	54,218	24,508	10,556	13.54%

<u>Note</u>: Data Source: Data Warehouse, point-in-time data may be different from other caseload data.

[clerical]rl/Welfare Reform/WRDM July-Sept 08/8-9 Five Yr Time Limit

#### **Five Year Time Limit**

Month/Year	Month Number Over 60	Total Number of FIP Cases	No. of Cases Subject to 60 Mo. Time Limit	Number of Child Only Cases	Number of Cases at 61+ Months	Percent of Total FIP
June 2006	57	79,851	55,613	24,238	10,858	13.60%
July 2006	58	81,930	57,592	24,138	11,060	13.50%
Aug. 2006	59	84,736	60,810	23,926	11,368	13.42%
Sept. 2006	60	86,383	62,380	24,003	11,580	13.41%
Oct. 2006*	61	72,361	48,935	23,426	9,001	12.44%
Nov. 2006	62	72,349	49,000	23,349	8,928	12.34%
Dec. 2006	63	74,869	51,243	23,626	9,178	12.26%
Jan. 2007	64	75,184	51,590	23,594	9,277	12.34%
Feb. 2007	65	75,778	52,220	23,558	9,271	12.23%
Mar. 2007	66	76,774	53,118	23,656	9,386	12.23%
Apr. 2007	67	76,478	52,998	23,480	9,438	12.34%
May 2007	68	76,114	52,718	23,396	9,464	12.43%
June 2007	69	75,305	51,994	23,311	9,407	12.49%
July 2007	70	73,377	50,238	23,139	9,387	12.79%
Aug. 2007	71	72,487	49,495	22,992	9,460	13.05%
Sept. 2007	72	72,069	49,075	22,994	9,469	13.14%
Oct. 2007	73	72,206	49,083	23,123	9,492	13.15%
Nov. 2007	74	70,660	47,773	22,887	9,370	13.26%
Dec. 2007	75	70,135	47,263	22,872	9,305	13.27%
Jan. 2008	76	69,470	46,694	22,776	9,298	13.38%
Feb. 2008	77	68,823	46,172	22,651	9,223	13.40%
Mar. 2008	78	68,013	45,661	22,352	9,213	13.55%
Apr. 2008	79	66,850	44,765	22,085	9,159	13.70%
May 2008	80	66,513	44,546	21,967	9,191	13.82%
June 2008	81	65,621	43,813	21,808	9,152	13.95%
July 2008	82	64,301	42,805	21,496	9,040	14.06%

<u>Note</u>: Data Source: Data Warehouse, point-in-time data may be different from other caseload data.


[clerical]rl/Welfare Reform/WRDM July-Sept 08/8-9 Five Yr Time Limit

<sup>\*</sup>Effective 10/1/06, two-parent cases were state funded and no longer part of the TANF caseload.

#### FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM CASELOAD


October 2007 - September 2008

Number of Cases




- The FIP caseload in September 2008 was 67,943, the lowest since November 2000 when it was 66,762. The Regular-FIP caseload has dropped by 19,707 (22.5%) since April 2007 when it was 87,650 (the recent highest caseload). Michigan's Jobs, Education and Training (JET) welfare reform program, seasonal program trends, and a significant reversal in a Work First program policy are believed responsible for the decreases.
- \* The September 2008 total excludes 4,708 EFIP (Extended FIP) cases. EFIP cases are those that would have otherwise closed due to earnings but remain open for 6-additional months and receive a \$10 grant for each of those months. EFIP provides important support to families transitioning to self-sufficiency. By retaining EFIP cases in the monthly case count, Michigan increases the percentage of cases meeting state and federal work requirements. The total September caseload (including EFIP cases) was 72,651.


Average Monthly Caseload FY 2000 - FY 2009



• The average monthly FIP-Regular caseload in FY 2006 was 80,360, the seventh lowest average since FY 1970 when it w 70,609. The monthly averages in FY 2000 - 2005 were lower than the FY 2006 average. The FY 2007 average was 85,389. The year-over-year increase was due to a Work First policy change allowing FIP enrollments / openings prior to participation in Work First orientation. The FIP-Regular caseload in FY 2008 was 72,568, the lowest annual average since FY 2001 when it was 69,543.

October 2007 - September 2008






• The FIP-Regular caseload in September 2008 was 67,943, the lowest monthly total since November 2000 when it was 66,762. Michigan's Jobs, Education and Training (JET) welfare reform program, seasonal program trends, and a significant reversal in a Work First program policy are believed responsible for the decreases.

Non-Two-Parent and Two-Parent Caseloads FY 1999 - FY 2008

#### Number of Cases




• The FY 2008 caseload average was the lowest since FY 2001 when it was 69,543.

#### FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM CASES WITH EARNED INCOME

Point-in-Time Data: October 2007 - September 2008


Number of Cases



Reflecting trends in the total FIP-Regular caseload, point-in-time cases are at their lowest levels since December 2002 when it was 67,009.
 The September 2008 caseload total was 67,821.

#### FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM CASES WITH EARNED INCOME

Point-in-Time Data: September of Each Year (1999 - 2008)



<sup>•</sup> The percent of all cases with earnings was 23.8% in September 2008. Reflecting decreases in the entire FIP-Regular caseload, point-in-time cases are also decreasing.

COUNTY			5511	JUNE 2008		AD SEF I EIVI	<u> </u>		JULY 2008	JULY 2008			
1 Alcona	COUNTY		Number	Percent	Average				Percent				
Alcona	333111	Caseload					Caseload						
2 Allegan	1 Alcono	10	Income				17						
3 Allegan			7										
4 Alpena	2 Allger								23.5%				
5 Antrim         34         7         20.6%         \$860         N/A         31         5         16.1%         \$606         N/A           6 Arenac         93         27         29.0%         \$1,334         N/A         95         27         28.4%         \$1,332         N/A           7 Barada         39         8         20.5%         \$938         N/A         42         10         23.8%         \$1,230         N/A           8 Barry         215         60         27.9%         \$1,012         N/A         216         57         26.4%         \$1,045         N/A           9 Bay         674         185         27.4%         \$850         N/A         636         191         30.0%         \$881         N/A           10 Benzie         37         17         45.9%         \$775         N/A         36         17         47.2%         \$831         N/A           11 Berrien         1.266         117         45.7%         \$1,138         N/A         261         109         41.8%         \$1,178         N/A           12 Branch         256         117         45.7%         \$1,138         N/A         261         109         41.8%													
6 Arenace 93													
T. Baraga   39   8   20.5%   \$938   N/A   42   10   23.8%   \$1.230   N/A			•										
8 Barry         215         60         27.9%         \$1,012         N/A         216         57         26.4%         \$1,045         N/A           9 Bay         674         185         27.4%         \$850         N/A         36         191         30.0%         \$881         N/A           10 Benzie         37         17         45.9%         \$775         N/A         36         17         47.2%         \$831         N/A           11 Berrien         1,261         224         22.5%         \$965         N/A         1,219         279         22.9%         \$996         N/A           12 Branch         256         117         45.7%         \$1,138         N/A         261         109         41.8%         \$1,178         N/A           13 Calhoun         1,483         418         28.2%         \$898         N/A         1,466         393         26.8%         \$898         N/A           14 Cass         271         64         23.6%         \$1,318         N/A         1,466         393         26.8%         \$1,914         N/A           15 Charlevoix**         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A         146         82.4%         \$1,													
9 Bay 674 185 27.4% \$850 N/A 636 191 30.0% \$881 N/A 10 Benzie 37 7 17 45.9% \$775 N/A 36 17 47.2% \$831 N/A 11 Berrien 1,261 284 22.5% \$965 N/A 1,219 279 22.9% \$996 N/A 12 Branch 266 117 45.7% \$1,138 N/A 261 109 41.8% \$1,178 N/A 13 Calhoun 1,483 418 28.2% \$898 N/A 1,466 393 26.8% \$898 N/A 14 Cass 271 64 23.6% \$1,179 N/A 276 59 21.4% \$1,194 N/A 15 Charlevoix* 0 0 0 0.0% \$0 N/A 0 0 0.0% \$0 N/A 16 Cheboygan 98 32 32.7% \$1,318 N/A 261 0.0% \$1,179 N/A 17 Chippewa 167 38 22.8% \$913 N/A 168 46 27.4% \$1,251 N/A 18 Clare 251 58 23.1% \$861 N/A 248 48 19.4% \$908 N/A 19 Clinton 1144 33 28.9% \$1,171 N/A 248 48 19.4% \$908 N/A 20 Crawford 73 25 34.2% \$1,121 N/A 67 25 37.3% \$1,181 N/A 21 Delta 136 30 22.1% \$975 N/A 140 31 22.1% \$1,191 N/A 22 Dickinson 38 5 13.2% \$1,130 N/A 248 76 30.6% \$1,121 N/A 23 Eaton 250 82 32.8% \$1,130 N/A 248 76 30.6% \$1,121 N/A 24 Emmet** 89 26 29.2% \$1,146 N/A 93 25 26.9% \$1,123 N/A 25 Genesee 5,729 1,276 23.3% \$894 N/A 151 37 24.5% \$1,242 N/A 26 Gladwin 151 36 33.9% \$894 N/A 140 31 22.1% \$1,101 N/A 27 Glogobic 140 23 16.4% \$1,124 N/A 141 77 17.1% \$699 N/A 28 Grand Traverse** 165 51 30.9% \$894 N/A 140 31 22.1% \$1,1016 N/A 28 Grand Traverse** 165 33.3% \$1,242 N/A 151 37 24.5% \$1,122 N/A 29 Grand Traverse** 165 32.3% \$856 N/A 140 22 15.7% \$1,123 N/A 29 Grand Traverse** 165 51 30.9% \$894 N/A 140 32 12.5% \$1,122 N/A 30 Hillsdale 205 53 25.9% \$1,124 N/A 140 22 15.7% \$1,132 N/A 31 Houghton 69 15 21.7% \$114 N/A 140 22 15.7% \$1,132 N/A 32 Grand Traverse** 165 51 30.9% \$894 N/A 174 62 35.6% \$997 N/A 33 Ingham 1,259 347 27.6% \$1,114 N/A 140 35 25.0% \$1,123 N/A 34 Ionian 12.29 54 57.29 N/A 140 35 25.0% \$1,123 N/A 35 Iosco 131 34 26.0% \$1,198 N/A 140 35 25.0% \$1,199 N/A 36 Iono 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37													
10 Benzie	8 Barry				\$1,012		216	57					
11 Berrien         1,261         284         22.5%         \$965         N/A         1,219         279         22.9%         \$996         N/A           12 Branch         256         117         45.7%         \$1,138         N/A         261         109         41.8%         \$1,178         N/A           13 Calhoun         1,483         418         28.2%         \$998         N/A         1,466         393         26.8%         \$898         N/A           14 Cass         271         64         23.6%         \$1,179         N/A         276         59         21.4%         \$1,194         N/A           15 Charlevoix**         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A           16 Chebovgan         98         32         32.7%         \$1,318         N/A         92         28         30.4%         \$1,251         N/A           17 Chipewa         167         38         32.8%         \$9861         N/A         168         46         27.4%         \$1,015         N/A           18 Clare         251         58         23.1%         \$8661         N/A         248         48         19.	9 Bay		185					191					
12 Branch	10 Benzie			45.9%									
13 Calhoun	11 Berrien	1,261	284		\$965		1,219	279		\$996			
14 Cass         271         64         23.6%         \$1,179         N/A         276         59         21.4%         \$1,194         N/A           15 Charlevoix***         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A           16 Cheboygan         98         32         32.7%         \$1,318         N/A         92         28         30.4%         \$1,251         N/A           17 Chippewa         167         38         22.8%         \$913         N/A         168         46         27.4%         \$1,015         N/A           18 Clare         251         58         23.1%         \$861         N/A         248         48         19.4%         \$908         N/A           19 Cinton         114         33         28.9%         \$976         N/A         109         37         33.9%         \$1,218         N/A           20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$972         N/A         140         31         22.1%	12 Branch	256	117	45.7%	\$1,138	N/A	261	109	41.8%	\$1,178	N/A		
14 Cass         271         64         23.6%         \$1,179         N/A         276         59         21.4%         \$1,194         N/A           15 Charlevoix***         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A         0         0         0.0%         \$0         N/A           16 Cheboygan         98         32         32.7%         \$1,318         N/A         92         28         30.4%         \$1,251         N/A           17 Chippewa         167         38         22.8%         \$913         N/A         168         46         27.4%         \$1,015         N/A           18 Clare         251         58         23.1%         \$861         N/A         248         48         19.4%         \$908         N/A           19 Cinton         114         33         28.9%         \$976         N/A         109         37         33.9%         \$1,218         N/A           20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$972         N/A         140         31         22.1%	13 Calhoun	1,483	418	28.2%	\$898	N/A	1,466	393	26.8%	\$898	N/A		
15 Charlevoix**	14 Cass	271	64	23.6%	\$1,179	N/A	276	59	21.4%	\$1,194	N/A		
16 Cheboygan         98         32         32.7%         \$1.318         N/A         92         28         30.4%         \$1.251         N/A           17 Chippewa         167         38         22.8%         \$913         N/A         168         46         27.4%         \$1.015         N/A           18 Clare         251         58         23.1%         \$861         N/A         248         48         19.4%         \$908         N/A           19 Clinton         114         33         28.9%         \$976         N/A         109         37         33.9%         \$1,218         N/A           20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$975         N/A         140         31         22.1%         \$1,016         N/A           22 Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$699         N/A           24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%	15 Charlevoix**	0	0			N/A	0	0			N/A		
17 Chippewa					\$1.318		92	28					
18 Clare         251         58         23.1%         \$861         N/A         248         48         19.4%         \$908         N/A           19 Clinton         114         33         28.9%         \$976         N/A         109         37         33.9%         \$1,218         N/A           20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$975         N/A         140         31         22.1%         \$1,016         N/A           22 Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$699         N/A           23 Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         151         37         24.5%					\$913								
19 Clinton         114         33         28.9%         \$976         N/A         109         37         33.9%         \$1,218         N/A           20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$975         N/A         140         31         22.1%         \$1.016         N/A           22 Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$699         N/A           23 Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         2													
20 Crawford         73         25         34.2%         \$1,121         N/A         67         25         37.3%         \$1,181         N/A           21 Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$975         N/A         140         31         22.1%         \$1,016         N/A           22 Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$1,006         N/A           23 Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24 Emmet***         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>													
21         Delta         136         30         22.1%         \$975         N/A         140         31         22.1%         \$1,016         N/A           22         Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$699         N/A           23         Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24         Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25         Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26         Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           26         Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27         Gogebic         140													
22 Dickinson         38         5         13.2%         \$712         N/A         41         7         17.1%         \$699         N/A           23 Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse**         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54													
23 Eaton         250         82         32.8%         \$1,300         N/A         248         76         30.6%         \$1,420         N/A           24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse***         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54								7					
24 Emmet**         89         26         29.2%         \$1,146         N/A         93         25         26.9%         \$1,123         N/A           25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse**         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$716         N/A         107         19								76					
25 Genesee         5,729         1,276         22.3%         \$856         N/A         5,636         1,233         21.9%         \$906         N/A           26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse***         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         107         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19													
26 Gladwin         151         36         23.8%         \$1,242         N/A         151         37         24.5%         \$1,242         N/A           27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse**         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         <					Φ1,140								
27 Gogebic         140         23         16.4%         \$512         N/A         140         22         15.7%         \$501         N/A           28 Grand Traverse**         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         1,292         351													
28 Grand Traverse**         165         51         30.9%         \$984         N/A         174         62         35.6%         \$997         N/A           29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         <													
29 Gratiot         201         65         32.3%         \$972         N/A         190         58         30.5%         \$989         N/A           30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         2													
30 Hillsdale         205         53         25.9%         \$1,124         N/A         219         54         24.7%         \$1,085         N/A           31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36 Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         879         186         21.													
31 Houghton         69         15         21.7%         \$511         N/A         70         16         22.9%         \$586         N/A           32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36 Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38 Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%<													
32 Huron         100         17         17.0%         \$746         N/A         107         19         17.8%         \$806         N/A           33 Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36 Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38 Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           40 Kalkaska         66         24         36.4%         \$1,374         N/A         65         27         41.5													
33         Ingham         1,259         347         27.6%         \$1,171         N/A         1,292         351         27.2%         \$1,139         N/A           34         Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35         Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36         Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37         Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38         Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           39         Kalamazoo         1,608         434         27.0%         \$911         N/A         1,616         422         26.1%         \$921         N/A           40         Kalkaska         66 <td></td>													
34 Ionia         210         58         27.6%         \$1,051         N/A         212         57         26.9%         \$1,022         N/A           35 Iosco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36 Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38 Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           39 Kalamazoo         1,608         434         27.0%         \$911         N/A         1,616         422         26.1%         \$921         N/A           40 Kalkaska         66         24         36.4%         \$1,374         N/A         65         27         41.5%         \$1,267         N/A           41 Kent         3,785         925         24.4%         \$927         N/A         3,793         936 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17.8%</td><td></td><td></td></t<>									17.8%				
35 losco         131         34         26.0%         \$1,198         N/A         140         35         25.0%         \$1,199         N/A           36 lron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 lsabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38 Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           39 Kalamazoo         1,608         434         27.0%         \$911         N/A         1,616         422         26.1%         \$921         N/A           40 Kalkaska         66         24         36.4%         \$1,374         N/A         65         27         41.5%         \$1,267         N/A           41 Kent         3,785         925         24.4%         \$927         N/A         3,793         936         24.7%         \$900         N/A					\$1,171				27.2%				
36 Iron         35         13         37.1%         \$932         N/A         34         13         38.2%         \$1,077         N/A           37 Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38 Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           39 Kalamazoo         1,608         434         27.0%         \$911         N/A         1,616         422         26.1%         \$921         N/A           40 Kalkaska         66         24         36.4%         \$1,374         N/A         65         27         41.5%         \$1,267         N/A           41 Kent         3,785         925         24.4%         \$927         N/A         3,793         936         24.7%         \$900         N/A													
37         Isabella         227         74         32.6%         \$1,061         N/A         231         65         28.1%         \$1,094         N/A           38         Jackson         876         193         22.0%         \$966         N/A         879         186         21.2%         \$972         N/A           39         Kalamazoo         1,608         434         27.0%         \$911         N/A         1,616         422         26.1%         \$921         N/A           40         Kalkaska         66         24         36.4%         \$1,374         N/A         65         27         41.5%         \$1,267         N/A           41         Kent         3,785         925         24.4%         \$927         N/A         3,793         936         24.7%         \$900         N/A													
38 Jackson     876     193     22.0%     \$966     N/A     879     186     21.2%     \$972     N/A       39 Kalamazoo     1,608     434     27.0%     \$911     N/A     1,616     422     26.1%     \$921     N/A       40 Kalkaska     66     24     36.4%     \$1,374     N/A     65     27     41.5%     \$1,267     N/A       41 Kent     3,785     925     24.4%     \$927     N/A     3,793     936     24.7%     \$900     N/A													
39 Kalamazoo     1,608     434     27.0%     \$911     N/A     1,616     422     26.1%     \$921     N/A       40 Kalkaska     66     24     36.4%     \$1,374     N/A     65     27     41.5%     \$1,267     N/A       41 Kent     3,785     925     24.4%     \$927     N/A     3,793     936     24.7%     \$900     N/A									28.1%				
40 Kalkaska       66       24       36.4%       \$1,374       N/A       65       27       41.5%       \$1,267       N/A         41 Kent       3,785       925       24.4%       \$927       N/A       3,793       936       24.7%       \$900       N/A													
40 Kalkaska     66     24     36.4%     \$1,374     N/A     65     27     41.5%     \$1,267     N/A       41 Kent     3,785     925     24.4%     \$927     N/A     3,793     936     24.7%     \$900     N/A	39 Kalamazoo												
		66	24	36.4%		N/A		27		\$1,267	N/A		
	41 Kent	3,785	925	24.4%	\$927	N/A	3,793	936	24.7%	\$900	N/A		
	42 Keweenaw	8		0.0%	\$0	N/A	6		0.0%	\$0	N/A		

See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.
 Grand Traverse / Leelanau data are reported as Grand Traverse (beginning 6/2003). Emmet / Charlevoix data are reported as Emmet (beginning 7/2003). Missaukee and Wexford data are reported as Wexford. -16-

			JUNE 2008	3		JULY 2008				
COUNTY	Caseload	Number With Earned	Percent With Earned	Average Earned	Unemployment	Caseload	Number With Earned	Percent With Earned	Average Earned	Unemployment
40 1 -1 -	00	Income	Income	Income	Rate*	0.4	Income	Income	Income	Rate*
43 Lake	83	21	25.3%	\$968	N/A	84	20	23.8%	\$1,147	N/A
44 Lapeer	235	36	15.3%	\$1,000	N/A	234	46	19.7%	\$1,022	N/A
45 Leelanau**	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A
46 Lenawee	326	75	23.0%	\$1,200	N/A	340	79	23.2%	\$1,171	N/A
47 Livingston	201	46	22.9%	\$1,352	N/A	214	50	23.4%	\$1,293	N/A
48 Luce	49	10	20.4%	\$1,098	N/A	47	15	31.9%	\$1,051	N/A
49 Mackinac	29	10	34.5%	\$2,386	N/A	25	9	36.0%	\$2,214	N/A
50 Macomb	2,948	676	22.9%	\$1,048	N/A	2,926	669	22.9%	\$1,021	N/A
51 Manistee	129	34	26.4%	\$936	N/A	127	40	31.5%	\$927	N/A
52 Marquette	163	20	12.3%	\$1,107	N/A	170	25	14.7%	\$1,018	N/A
53 Mason	153	51	33.3%	\$921	N/A	154	56	36.4%	\$997	N/A
54 Mecosta***	287	48	16.7%	\$1,032	N/A	282	50	17.7%	\$1,006	N/A
55 Menominee	54	14	25.9%	\$873	N/A	55	14	25.5%	\$1,008	N/A
56 Midland	271	60	22.1%	\$887	N/A	236	50	21.2%	\$985	N/A
57 Missaukee**	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A
58 Monroe	647	138	21.3%	\$1,217	N/A	644	139	21.6%	\$1,196	N/A
59 Montcalm	210	50	23.8%	\$991	N/A	204	57	27.9%	\$931	N/A
60 Montmorency	42	11	26.2%	\$1,032	N/A	37	8	21.6%	\$814	N/A
61 Muskegon	2,682	669	24.9%	\$823	N/A	2,691	659	24.5%	\$817	N/A
62 Newaygo	213	46	21.6%	\$1,004	N/A	202	39	19.3%	\$932	N/A
63 Oakland	3,189	812	25.5%	\$1,053	N/A	3,142	767	24.4%	\$1,122	N/A
64 Oceana	245	76	31.0%	\$1,186	N/A	248	80	32.3%	\$1,214	N/A
65 Ogemaw	155	50	32.3%	\$1,117	N/A	153	44	28.8%	\$1,067	N/A
66 Ontonagon	19	4	21.1%	\$333	N/A	23	8	34.8%	\$273	N/A
67 Osceola***	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A
68 Oscoda	51	11	21.6%	\$952	N/A	53	12	22.6%	\$1,037	N/A
69 Otsego	129	38	29.5%	\$614	N/A	123	37	30.1%	\$693	N/A
70 Ottawa	451	89	19.7%	\$1,016	N/A	459	85	18.5%	\$929	N/A
71 Presque Isle	34	11	32.4%	\$1,091	N/A	31	12	38.7%	\$1,027	N/A
72 Roscommon	140	28	20.0%	\$1,216	N/A	140	31	22.1%	\$1,096	N/A
73 Saginaw	2,399	615	25.6%	\$681	N/A	2,380	613	25.8%	\$673	N/A
74 St. Clair	942	253	26.9%	\$978	N/A	920	249	27.1%	\$952	N/A
75 St. Joseph	374	82	21.9%	\$999	N/A	380	86	22.6%	\$1,049	N/A
76 Sanilac	152	35	23.0%	\$825	N/A	156	31	19.9%	\$992	N/A
77 Schoolcraft	33	7	21.2%	\$1,060	N/A	37	8	21.6%	\$1,002	N/A
78 Shiawassee	330	101	30.6%	\$859	N/A	336	106	31.5%	\$956	N/A
79 Tuscola	260	67	25.8%	\$1,040	N/A	260	67	25.8%	\$974	N/A
80 Van Buren	447	123	27.5%	\$1,074	N/A	448	119	26.6%	\$1,069	N/A
81 Washtenaw	1,063	302	28.4%	\$988	N/A	1,061	299	28.2%	\$1,030	N/A
82 Wayne	30,257	6,668	22.0%	\$865	N/A	29,759	6,476	21.8%	\$866	N/A
83 Wexford/Miss.**	271	67	24.7%	\$1,095	N/A	251	68	27.1%	\$1,024	N/A
TOTAL	70,785	16,767	23.7%	\$924	N/A	70,043	16,445	23.5%	\$926	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Grand Traverse / Leelanau data are reported as Grand Traverse (beginning 6/2003). Emmet / Charlevoix data are reported as Emmet (beginning 7/2003). Missaukee and Wexford data are reported as Wexford.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Mecosta & Osceola data are reported together as "Mecosta County" data.

CK JUNE DIST NUMBERS THEY ARE WRONG

		T NOWDERO T	<b>JUNE 2008</b>					<b>JULY 2008</b>		
WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*
ZONE VII										
15 Greydale	2,768	682	24.6%	\$962	N/A	2,633	612	23.2%	\$945	N/A
17 Greenfield/Joy	2,382	436	18.3%	\$904	N/A	2,451	417	17.0%	\$881	N/A
18 Taylor	1,248	253	20.3%	\$1,076	N/A	1,200	240	20.0%	\$1,061	N/A
19 Inkster	2,172	521	24.0%	\$1,076	N/A	2,075	493	23.8%	\$993	N/A
35 Schoolcraft	3,828	891	23.3%	\$884	N/A	3,719	788	21.2%	\$885	N/A
Wayne Other Distribution	1,173	269	22.9%	\$815	N/A	1,239	279	22.5%	\$817	N/A
Total	13,571	3,052	22.5%	N/A	N/A	13,317	2,829	21.2%	N/A	N/A
ZONE VIII										
41 Fort Wayne	2,415	501	20.7%	\$820	N/A	2,366	506	21.4%	\$810	N/A
43 Glendale	2,214	431	19.5%	\$847	N/A	2,115	444	21.0%	\$857	N/A
49 Grand River/Warren	3,383	839	24.8%	\$847	N/A	3,149	768	24.4%	\$831	N/A
55 Hamtramck	984	249	25.3%	\$949	N/A	981	235	24.0%	\$843	N/A
57 Medbury	2,541	579	22.8%	\$825	N/A	2,344	510	21.8%	\$790	N/A
59 McNichols/Goddard	1,554	385	24.8%	\$850	N/A	1,431	331	23.1%	\$897	N/A
73 Forrest/Ellery	1,555	374	24.1%	\$902	N/A	1,436	331	23.1%	\$885	N/A
76 Wayne/Gratiot	2,892	564	19.5%	\$694	N/A	2,620	522	19.9%	\$715	N/A
Total	17,538	3,922	22.4%	N/A	N/A	16,442	3,647	22.2%	N/A	N/A
WAYNE CO. TOTAL**	30,257	6,668	22.0%	\$865	N/A	29,759	6,476	21.8%	\$866	N/A
OUTSTATE TOTAL	40,528	12,845	31.7%	N/A	N/A	40,284	9,969	24.7%	N/A	N/A
STATEWIDE TOTAL	70,785	16,767	23.7%	\$918	N/A	70,043	16,445	23.5%	\$926	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.

<cl>td/welfare Reform/WRDM Report Jul-Sep 08/16-21 fip spreads.xls/10-30-2008

<sup>\*\*</sup> Totals for Wayne do not always add to the Zone totals as some ongoing cases are not yet associated with specific district offices following/during district consolidation activities.

			AUGUST 20			SEPTEMBER 2008				
COUNTY	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*
1 Alcona	18	7	38.9%	\$621	N/A	16	5	31.3%	\$1,117	10.4%
2 Alger	15	3	20.0%	\$665	N/A	19	5	26.3%	\$1,613	8.5%
3 Allegan	345	75	21.7%	\$943	N/A	338	85	25.1%	\$978	7.6%
4 Alpena	180	51	28.3%	\$952	N/A	182	58	31.9%	\$956	9.1%
5 Antrim	29	4	13.8%	\$1,077	N/A	27	4	14.8%	\$1,083	8.9%
6 Arenac	100	30	30.0%	\$1,180	N/A	99	27	27.3%	\$1,103	10.1%
7 Baraga	42	10	23.8%	\$1,343	N/A	43	12	27.9%	\$1,129	12.4%
8 Barry	209	65	31.1%	\$984	N/A	205	56	27.3%	\$1,006	6.8%
9 Bay	623	176	28.3%	\$883	N/A	626	188	30.0%	\$904	7.8%
10 Benzie	36	16	44.4%	\$836	N/A	40	16	40.0%	\$878	7.9%
11 Berrien	1,181	260	22.0%	\$1,001	N/A	1,162	271	23.3%	\$982	8.4%
12 Branch	249	104	41.8%	\$1,170	N/A	234	86	36.8%	\$1,142	9.4%
13 Calhoun	38	10	26.3%	\$1,204	N/A	16	3	18.8%	\$1,063	8.2%
14 Cass	274	63	23.0%	\$1,230	N/A	289	70	24.2%	\$1,216	6.4%
15 Charlevoix	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	9.5%
16 Cheboygan	91	31	34.1%	\$1,211	N/A	95	21	22.1%	\$1,313	7.3%
17 Chippewa	154	38	24.7%	\$1,089	N/A	150	37	24.7%	\$1,202	8.6%
18 Clare	231	56	24.2%	\$913	N/A	242	55	22.7%	\$778	11.4%
19 Clinton	100	30	30.0%	\$1,211	N/A	101	31	30.7%	\$1,193	6.3%
20 Crawford	62	18	29.0%	\$1,099	N/A	58	16	27.6%	\$903	9.4%
21 Delta	143	36	25.2%	\$965	N/A	143	42	29.4%	\$1,079	7.6%
22 Dickinson	41	7	17.1%	\$893	N/A	39	6	15.4%	\$1,071	7.4%
23 Eaton	221	66	29.9%	\$1,358	N/A	242	78	32.2%	\$1,364	6.5%
24 Emmet	99	30	30.3%	\$1,252	N/A	97	29	29.9%	\$1,338	8.2%
25 Genesee	5,588	1,238	22.2%	\$897	N/A	5,603	1,202	21.5%	\$889	10.8%
26 Gladwin	160	35	21.9%	\$1,184	N/A	155	39	25.2%	\$1,109	11.8%
27 Gogebic	145	32	22.1%	\$560	N/A	154	35	22.7%	\$601	9.0%
28 Grand Traverse	158	52	32.9%	\$1,004	N/A	151	38	25.2%	\$1,003	7.3%
29 Gratiot	182	57	31.3%	\$892	N/A	173	54	31.2%	\$752	9.6%
30 Hillsdale	213	59	27.7%	\$1,097	N/A	206	63	30.6%	\$1,083	10.6%
31 Houghton	62	11	17.7%	\$618	N/A	58	13	22.4%	\$984	6.9%
32 Huron	100	17	17.0%	\$871	N/A	91	13	14.3%	\$926	9.0%
33 Ingham	1,302	370	28.4%	\$1,150	N/A	1,293	380	29.4%	\$1,204	7.6%
34 Ionia	203	53	26.1%	\$1,020	N/A	205	50	24.4%	\$1,037	8.8%
35 losco	138	32	23.2%	\$1,087	N/A	128	35	27.3%	\$974	9.7%
36 Iron	36	15	41.7%	\$992	N/A	35	18	51.4%	\$1,038	7.9%
37 Isabella	233	75	32.2%	\$1,055	N/A	220	73	33.2%	\$1,058	6.0%
38 Jackson	866	188	21.7%	\$932	N/A	859	187	21.8%	\$894	9.3%
39 Kalamazoo	1,598	412	25.8%	\$937	N/A	1,600	415	25.9%	\$936	7.0%
40 Kalkaska	66	27	40.9%	\$1,349	N/A	60	23	38.3%	\$1,380	8.4%
41 Kent	3,782	937	24.8%	\$909	N/A	3,766	907	24.1%	\$934	7.3%
42 Keweenaw	3	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	2	0	0.0%	\$0	8.7%

<sup>\*</sup> See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.

			AUGUST 20		15 02: 12:115		SE	PTEMBER 2	2008	
COUNTY	Caseload	Number With Earned	Percent With Earned	Average Earned	Unemployment	Caseload	Number With Earned	Percent With Earned	Average Earned	Unemployment
		Income	Income	Income	Rate*		Income	Income	Income	Rate*
43 Lake	82	17	20.7%	\$1,243	N/A	76	21	27.6%	\$1,068	11.8%
44 Lapeer	239	52	21.8%	\$1,051	N/A	238	48	20.2%	\$1,038	8.0%
45 Leelanau	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	5.9%
46 Lenawee	346	74	21.4%	\$1,116	N/A	356	73	20.5%	\$1,194	10.2%
47 Livingston	218	58	26.6%	\$1,319	N/A	208	50	24.0%	\$1,262	6.2%
48 Luce	42	17	40.5%	\$1,087	N/A	43	16	37.2%	\$1,104	8.9%
49 Mackinac	17	6	35.3%	\$1,299	N/A	17	5	29.4%	\$1,319	5.1%
50 Macomb	2,850	675	23.7%	\$1,010	N/A	2,775	678	24.4%	\$992	8.2%
51 Manistee	114	36	31.6%	\$1,089	N/A	115	38	33.0%	\$1,064	8.5%
52 Marquette	163	28	17.2%	\$1,050	N/A	161	33	20.5%	\$1,036	6.6%
53 Mason	155	56	36.1%	\$927	N/A	155	47	30.3%	\$900	7.8%
54 Mecosta	264	54	20.5%	\$1,066	N/A	260	55	21.2%	\$974	8.6%
55 Menominee	55	14	25.5%	\$1,067	N/A	58	16	27.6%	\$1,137	6.7%
56 Midland	227	46	20.3%	\$960	N/A	216	53	24.5%	\$974	6.6%
57 Missaukee	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	10.0%
58 Monroe	646	135	20.9%	\$1,178	N/A	611	127	20.8%	\$1,174	9.5%
59 Montcalm	209	54	25.8%	\$994	N/A	203	50	24.6%	\$1,001	11.8%
60 Montmorency	36	9	25.0%	\$853	N/A	37	11	29.7%	\$1,008	12.0%
61 Muskegon	2,633	677	25.7%	\$846	N/A	2,658	666	25.1%	\$823	9.2%
62 Newaygo	193	40	20.7%	\$1,009	N/A	206	40	19.4%	\$983	9.1%
63 Oakland	3,119	782	25.1%	\$1,147	N/A	3,090	787	25.5%	\$1,168	6.6%
64 Oceana	239	85	35.6%	\$1,305	N/A	239	79	33.1%	\$1,332	9.5%
65 Ogemaw	141	48	34.0%	\$965	N/A	141	38	27.0%	\$1,007	8.6%
66 Ontonagon	20	6	30.0%	\$264	N/A	16	4	25.0%	\$219	7.8%
67 Osceola	0	0	0.0%	\$0	N/A	0	0	0.0%	\$0	9.3%
68 Oscoda	47	10	21.3%	\$993	N/A	44	6	13.6%	\$1,208	11.6%
69 Otsego	112	37	33.0%	\$681	N/A	114	41	36.0%	\$778	9.4%
70 Ottawa	464	87	18.8%	\$966	N/A	454	98	21.6%	\$993	7.2%
71 Presque Isle	34	13	38.2%	\$1,178	N/A	32	14	43.8%	\$1,228	10.8%
72 Roscommon	133	27	20.3%	\$1,194	N/A	124	29	23.4%	\$971	10.7%
73 Saginaw	2,336	651	27.9%	\$697	N/A	2,264	626	27.7%	\$691	8.9%
74 St. Clair	867	220	25.4%	\$957	N/A	792	207	26.1%	\$951	9.4%
75 St. Joseph	392	90	23.0%	\$1,076	N/A	387	97	25.1%	\$956	9.4%
76 Sanilac	156	34	21.8%	\$969	N/A	147	31	21.1%	\$990	11.0%
77 Schoolcraft	33	7	21.2%	\$1,075	N/A	32	8	25.0%	\$839	9.5%
78 Shiawassee	325	109	33.5%	\$881	N/A	340	105	30.9%	\$904	10.2%
79 Tuscola	238	60	25.2%	\$1,033	N/A	241	59	24.5%	\$1,033	10.2%
80 Van Buren	423	115	27.2%	\$1,082	N/A	417	103	24.7%	\$1,075	8.1%
81 Washtenaw	1,068	310	29.0%	\$988	N/A	1,033	304	29.4%	\$1,000	6.5%
82 Wayne	29,132	6,318	21.7%	\$881	N/A	28,584	6,273	21.9%	\$887	9.6%
83 Wexford	248	72	29.0%	\$1,088	N/A	249	68	27.3%	\$1,215	12.0%
TOTAL	67,332	15,925	23.7%	\$935	N/A	66,355	15,750	23.7%	\$939	8.7%


<sup>\*</sup> See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.

			AUGUST 20	08			SE	PTEMBER 2	2008			
WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*	Caseload	Number With Earned Income	Percent With Earned Income	Average Earned Income	Unemployment Rate*		
ZONE VII												
15 Greydale	2,539	570	22.4%	\$954	N/A	2,446	543	22.2%	\$962	N/A		
17 Greenfield/Joy	2,455	430	17.5%	\$894	N/A	2,414	431	17.9%	\$886	N/A		
18 Taylor	1,187	242	20.4%	\$1,085	N/A	1,175	257	21.9%	\$1,107	N/A		
19 Inkster	2,015	482	23.9%	\$980	N/A	1,964	478	24.3%	\$961	N/A		
35 Schoolcraft	3,646	780	21.4%	\$903	N/A	3,619	789	21.8%	\$909	N/A		
Wayne Other Distribution	1,242	279	22.5%	\$1,817	N/A	1,201	268	22.3%	\$839	N/A		
Total	13,084	2,783	21.3%	N/A	N/A	12,819	2,766	21.6%	N/A	N/A		
ZONE VIII												
41 Fort Wayne	2,339	504	21.5%	\$837	N/A	2,261	485	21.5%	\$861	N/A		
43 Glendale	2,032	435	21.4%	\$856	N/A	2,003	422	21.1%	\$851	N/A		
49 Grand River/Warren	3,128	777	24.8%	\$868	N/A	3,056	762	24.9%	\$892	N/A		
55 Hamtramck	938	237	25.3%	\$820	N/A	945	244	25.8%	\$836	N/A		
57 Medbury/Concord	2,258	482	21.3%	\$794	N/A	2,265	488	21.5%	\$817	N/A		
59 McNichols/Goddard	1,384	309	22.3%	\$935	N/A	1,322	306	23.1%	\$921	N/A		
73 Forrest/Ellery	1,370	302	22.0%	\$916	N/A	1,366	303	22.2%	\$910	N/A		
76 Wayne/Gratiot	2,599	489	18.8%	\$729	N/A	2,547	497	19.5%	\$742	N/A		
Total	16,048	3,535	22.0%	N/A	N/A	15,765	3,507	22.2%	N/A	N/A		
WAYNE CO. TOTAL**	29,132	6,318	21.7%	\$881	N/A	28,584	6,273	21.9%	\$887	11.0%		
OUTSTATE TOTAL	38,200	9,607	25.1%	N/A	N/A	37,771	9,477	25.1%	N/A	N/A		
STATEWIDE TOTAL	67,332	15,925	23.7%	\$935	N/A	66,355	15,750	23.7%	\$939	9.6%		

<sup>\*</sup> See pages 19-21 for September 2008 unemployment rates.


<sup>\*\*</sup> Totals for Wayne do not add to the Zone totals as some ongoing cases are not yet associated with specific district offices following/during district consolidation activities.

Two-Parent Cases With Earned Income/Average Monthly Earnings Point-in-Time Data: October 2007 - September 2008



- FIP two-parent cases significantly decreased from October 2007 September 2008. August and September data do not
- \* include active point-in-time cases in Calhoun County. Calhoun Co. data has been converted to DHS Bridges data environment and are not available for this report. Color data has been converted to DHS Bridges data environment and are not available for this report.


Two-Parent Cases With Earned Income/Average Monthly Earnings
Point-in-Time Data: September 1999 - September 2008



<sup>\*</sup> Totals do not include Calhoun County data.

# Cases Closed Due To Excess Earned Income (EI)


October 2007 - September 2008



 The percent of case closures due to excess earnings was 8.0% in September 2008. Relatively fewer cases are closing due to earnings, as many cases now remain open under Michigan's Extended FIP policy (EFIP).


<u>Note</u>: EFIP cases are those that would have otherwise closed due to earnings but remain open for six additional months and receive a \$10 grant for each of those months. EFIP provides important support to families transitioning to self-sufficiency. By retaining EFIP cases in the monthly case count, Michigan increases the percentage of cases meeting state and federal work requirements.

Cases Closed Due To Excess Earned Income (EI) FY 1999 - FY 2008



• Case closures due to earnings in FY 2008 were significantly lower than in previous years due to Michigan's Extended FIP (EFIP) policy that went into effect in January 2007.

Length of Time on Assistance Average Months - Most Recent Period




Note: Data is from a computer-generated file of open cases. Length of time is measured from the time the case opened. For cases that have closed and then reopened, only the current period of assistance is included. Data will be updated 10/08.

## FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM: MINOR PARENTS

Number Of Cases With A Grantee Under Age 18
October 2007 - September 2008


Number of Cases



• The FIP program has a very small number of minor parent grantee cases. Point-in time data.

#### FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM: MINOR PARENTS

Number Of Cases With A Grantee Under Age 18 1997 - 2008




• The number of minor parent grantee cases has decreased significantly as a result of Michigan's policy that requires minor parents to live at home or with an adult guardian.

Point-in time data.

## FOOD ASSISTANCE HOUSEHOLDS

October 2007 - September 2008

#### Number of Households




- Food Assistance caseloads have steadily increased over the last twelve months. Food Assistance Program cases are at all time record levels.
   While "FAP and Other Benefit cases" have moderately deceased over the last quarter, Food Assistance-Only caseloads have steadily increased. FAP household and recipients totals are at all time record levels.
- \* Other Benefits are FIP or State Disability Assistance.

## FOOD ASSISTANCE HOUSEHOLDS

Average Monthly Households FY 2000 - FY 2008 and September 2008 (Most Recent Month)

#### Number of Households




	■ FA and Other Benefits*	62,588	59,680	64,222	64,851	69,662	70,838	72,794	79,769	70,557	66,518
0		191,299	214,845	262,476	298,499	343,004	399,137	442,236	475,975	521,221	548,477
		253,887	274,525	326,698	363,350	412,666	469,975	515,030	555,744	591,778	614,995

Food Assistance households decreased each year from FY 1994 through FY 2000.
 Total FAP households have been increasing since FY 2000.
 The September 2008 FAP household total was the highest on record.

<sup>\*</sup> Other Benefits are FIP or State Disability Assistance.


# STATE DISABILITY ASSISTANCE (SDA)

Average Monthly Caseload October 2007 - September 2008



# STATE DISABILITY ASSISTANCE (SDA)

Average Monthly Cases
FY 2000 - FY 2008 & Most Recent Month (September 2008)




• The FY 2008 caseload average was 10,364, the lowest since FY 2004 it was 9,997. The September 2008 total of 10,118 was the lowest since February 2004 when it was 9,714.

# SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME

Number of Recipients October 2007 - September 2008


#### Number of Recipients



• In September, children (under 21) represented 20% of the SSI disabled population.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME


Number of Recipients Point-In-Time Data September 1999 - September 2008



The number of children receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI) has increased for the last three years. In 1996 federal
welfare reform legislation established a new childhood disability standard that restricts the number of children eligible for SSI
SSI was also eliminated for recipients whose sole disability was due to drug abuse or alcoholism (3/96).

#### CHILD DEVELOPMENT AND CARE PROGRAM CASELOAD

October 2007 - September 2008




- Michigan's Child Development and Care caseloads (on average) are at their lowest levels since FY 1997.
- \* Estimated.

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT AND CARE PROGRAM CASELOAD

# **Average Monthly Caseload**

FY 2000 - FY 2008 & September 2008 (Most-recent-month)

Number of Cases




The estimated September 2008 Child Development and Care caseload was 50,612, the lowest monthly total since December 1997 when it was 49,725.

<sup>\*</sup> Estimated. <cl>td/Welfare Reform/WRDM Report Jul-Sep 08/36-cdc2.xls/10-30-2008

#### AMOUNT COLLECTED FROM STATE ASSIGNED CHILD SUPPORT CASES

FY 2004 - FY 2008 (Quarterly Detail)




<sup>•</sup> Total collections: FY 1995 = \$165.0M; FY 1996 = \$164.7M; FY 1997 = \$155.0M; FY 1998 = \$139.6M; FY 1999 = \$127.8M; FY 2000 = \$116.6M; FY 2001 = \$91.40M; FY 2002 = \$111.6M; FY 2003 = \$96.2M; FY 2004=\$98.7M; and FY 2005 = \$93.9M.

Note: State assigned cases include both current and former FIP cases. Total collected includes the amount collected for arrears (past due amounts.) <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected for arrears">col/creativecolor: red collected for arrears</a> (past due amounts.)</a> <a href="https://creativecolor: red collected

<sup>\*</sup> FY 06 = \$100.0M; FY 07 = \$98.023M; FY 08 = \$106.1M (FY 2008 1st, 2nd, and 3rd Quarter data are actual, while 4th quarter data are preliminary) . Note: Totals are preliminary (before year-end adjustments).

#### ARREARS COLLECTED FROM STATE ASSIGNED CHILD SUPPORT CASES

FY 2004 - FY 2008 (Quarterly Detail)



<sup>•</sup> Total arrears collected: FY 1995 = \$89.2M; FY 1996 = \$96.5M; FY 1997 = \$100.6M; FY 1998 = \$98.5M; FY 1999 = \$99.1M; FY 2000 = \$93.1M; FY 2001 = \$74.9M; FY 2002 = \$91.8M; FY 2003 = \$79.4M; FY 2004=\$81.9M; FY 2005 = \$77.0M.

Note: State assigned cases include both current and former FIP cases.


<sup>\*</sup> FY 2006 = \$79.0M; FY 2007 = \$75.9M; FY 2008 = \$92.4M/FY 2008 1st, 2nd & 3rd Quarter data are actual, while 4th Quarter data are preliminary). Note: Totals are preliminary prior to year-end adjustments.

# **NET CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTIONS**

FIP and Non-FIP Case Related Michigan Collections

Collections
Millions of Dollars

FY 1999 - FY 2008



Michigan's net child support collections increased steadily from 1994-2002 and then moderately decreased in FY 2003. The FY 2003 decrease in FIP related collections was due to a FIP caseload decrease and a change in TANF regulations limiting the amount of Child Support Arrearages that can be assigned to the state. FY 2004 collections once again moved higher, and then moderately dipped in FY 2005. Collections in FY 2007 were up again, and the highest since FY 2002FY 2008 data are preliminary.
 Data Source: OCS 34a Report (lines 7aG & 8G).

<sup>\*</sup> Beginning in FY 1999 the method for obtaining the non-FIP collections changed. This Federally required change resulted in higher collection figures.

Note: Totals are final, year-end adjusted amounts.

\* CI>td/Welfare Reform/WRDM Report Jul-Sep 08/39-ocs.xls/10-30-2008

# **CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

Overview	41
Special Initiatives	43
Children's Protective Services Complaints Investigated, Monthly Data	
Children's Protective Services Complaints Investigated, FY 1998 - FY 2007	46
Families First Services Successful Program Outcomes, FY 1998 - FY 2007	47
Families First Services Relative Placements, FY 1998 - FY 2007	
Preventive Services for Families, Monthly Data	49
Abuse/Neglect Caseload (Foster Care Program), Monthly Data	
Abuse/Neglect Caseload (Foster Care Program), 1999 - 2008	
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements, Monthly Data	
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements (Foster Care Program) by County by County	
Abuse/Neglect Out-of-Home Placements (Foster Care Program), 1999 - 2008	54
Adoptive Placements, FY 1998 - FY 2007	55
Adoption Subsidy Cases, 1998 - 2007	56
Adoption Subsidy Expenses by Program, FY 1998 - FY 2007	
Juvenile Justice Caseload, Monthly Data	
Juvenile Justice Caseload, 1999 - 2008	59
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements, Monthly Data	
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements by County	
Juvenile Justice Out-of-Home Placements, 1999 - 2008.	62

#### CHILDREN'S SERVICES

#### **Overview**

#### **Children's Protective Services (CPS)**

Michigan law mandates these services to help prevent harm to children from non-accidental physical or mental injury, sexual abuse, exploitation or neglect by a person responsible for the child's health or welfare. The Department of Human Services (DHS) staff provide assistance through investigating and substantiating reports of abuse and neglect. CPS staff members also work with families to enhance their ability to care for children, refer families to appropriate community resources, coordinate community service programs and provide public information on neglect and abuse. When necessary to promote the safety of children, CPS staff petition the court for removal of children from abuse and neglect situations.

#### **Children's Foster Care**

This program is available for children who cannot safely remain in their own homes because of incidents of serious abuse and/or neglect. Foster care services are delivered through a partnership between the DHS and private childcare organizations. About 63% of children placed in a foster home living arrangement are supervised through contracts with private agencies. The program supervises children placed out-of-home and works with families for reunification, if possible. It also seeks permanent homes for children when return is not possible and, when necessary, petitions the court for legal termination of parental rights. Out of home placement includes DHS and private agency foster homes, public shelter homes, residential care centers, private institutions and others.

#### **Adoption**

The Department of Human Services and private adoption agencies under contract to the department work to provide permanent homes for children who are permanent state wards under agency supervision. In some cases, DHS can also provide a subsidy to support day-to-day care of the children, treatment of medical conditions, or both. Adoption finalizations of state and court wards totaled 2,417 in fiscal year 1999, 2,775 in 2000, 2,927 in 2001, 2,833 in 2002, and 2,467 in 2003. DHS adoption subsidies provide basic support for adopted special needs children. In January 2004, DHS was paying 23,508 adoption subsidies at an average payment of \$674 per month.

#### **Juvenile Justice Services**

Juvenile Justice Services provides for the care and supervision of delinquent youth referred to the department from the circuit courts. A variety of public placement options are available ranging from community-based programs to secure facilities. All treatment programs are comprehensive, individualized and provide educational services, counseling, employment services, family assistance, crisis intervention and recreation. Based on client needs, the department has increased treatment capacity for special needs youth with substance abuse and/or sex offense backgrounds. When possible, the youth's family is incorporated into the total treatment program. To support local alternatives, the department assists local offices in developing family or community-based options to divert youth from residential centers or to accelerate reintegration of youth returned to the community.

The DHS operates residential treatment beds in campus-based programs at the following sites: W. J. Maxey Training School near Whitmore Lake; Shawono Center, Grayling; Nokomis Challenge Program, Prudenville; Adrian Training School, Adrian; Bay Pines Center, Escanaba; Arbor Heights Center, Ann Arbor; and community-based residential care centers in Flint, Bay City, Kalamazoo and Lansing.

#### **Prevention Services to Families**

This program offers home-based family-focused services designed to prevent child abuse and neglect by preserving and strengthening family life. Statewide, DHS workers serve high-risk families by providing voluntary support services in the family home; improving parenting, child care, homemaking and money management skills; connecting families to resources; reducing family dependency on social services; and increasing self sufficiency. Successful closure is defined as an improvement in family functioning and the absence of the risk of child abuse and neglect.

#### CHILDREN'S SERVICES

# Special Initiatives

#### **Families First of Michigan**

Families First of Michigan is an intensive, safe, short-term in-home crisis intervention and family education program available in all 83 counties. It is designed to serve the most severe multi-problem families in which at least one child is at imminent risk of being placed out of home because of abuse, neglect or delinquency. The resource is available to juvenile courts, tribal social services and community mental health agencies.

#### Strong Families/Safe Children (SF/SC)

The federal Promoting Safe and Stable Families initiative, named Strong Families/Safe Children in Michigan, is a statewide collaborative effort which involves state and local human services departments (Michigan departments of Community Health and Education, Office of Services to the Aging and the Department of Human Services), public and private services, organizations, universities, courts, businesses, the faith community, parents and recipients. The Department of Human Services is the designated fiduciary for the SF/SC funds and provides program support for the initiative. SF/SC encourages local communities to come together to plan and implement a seamless system of services for children and families. With the goals of safe children and nurturing families, each local collaborative body is charged with assessing local resources, needs and gaps in services, developing a long-term plan for improving positive results for children and families, implementing the plan and tracking performance.

#### **Family Reunification Program**


The Family Reunification Program is designed to prevent repeated out-of-home placements and assist in early reunification of children with their families, when out-of-home placement has occurred. Family reunification staff work with families to participate in a strength-based assessment, family or individual therapy, parenting skills classes and family workshops. Staff also conduct ongoing assessments of the risk to children throughout the program. Family reunification staff work as a team.

#### **Child Protection: Working Together As Community Partners**

Child Protection: Working Together As Community Partners is a statewide collaborative effort that required local communities to develop a 3-year plan that provides services to children and families that are Categories III and IV (low or moderate risk) Children's Protective Services cases. The purpose of this TANF-funded initiative is to reduce child abuse and neglect as determined by the number of re-referrals. Each county receives annual funds from a \$7 million statewide allocation.

#### STATEWIDE CHILDREN'S PROTECTIVE SERVICES REFERRALS INVESTIGATED

JANUARY - OCT. 2007



Category I	502	487	575	473	439	425	363	379	305	324	-	-
Category II	503	487	588	475	538	601	528	520	414	496	-	-
Category III	552	562	728	641	648	704	586	622	449	584	-	-
Category IV	3,777	3,642	4,500	3,814	4,373	4,450	4,118	4,474	3,293	3,859	-	-
■ Category V	668	617	835	702	770	863	766	766	637	683	-	-
Total	6,002	5,795	7,226	6,105	6,768	7,043	6,361	6,761	5,098	5,946	-	-

Category I: A court petition is required because a child is unsafe or a petition is mandated in the law for another reason. The perpetrator is listed on the Central Registry.

Category II: There is a preponderance of evidence that abuse or neglect occurred and the initial risk level is high or intensive. CPS must ope a services case and the perpetrator is listed on the central registry


Category III: There is a preponderance of evidence that abuse or neglect occurred and the initial risk level is low or moderate. CPS must assist the family in voluntarily participating in community based services. The perpetrator is not listed on Central Registry

Category IV: There is *not* preponderance of evidence that abuse or neglect occurred. CPS is to asssist the family in accessing community based services.

Category V: There is no evidence that abuse or neglect occurred (e.g., a false complaint; no basis in fact). No action beyond the investigation is required by CPS.

# CHILDREN'S PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMPLAINTS INVESTIGATED

FY 1998 - FY 2007




Between 1998 and 2007 the number of complaints investigated increased by 22.9% (14,353). During this same time, substantiations increased by 50% (6,303).

<sup>\*</sup> Complaints investigated in which evidence of abuse and/or neglect was found.

#### STATEWIDE FAMILIES FIRST SERVICES

Number of Cases with 12 Months Placement Data (Known), And of Those, The Number With Successful Outcomes' FY 1997 - FY 2007



	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003**	2004	2005	2006	2007+
Total Families Served	3,882	4,146	3,985	3,855	3,904	3,893	4,006	3,548	3,410	3,108	3,312
Families w/12 Mo. Placement Data	3,570	3,859	3,748	3,623	3,703	3,697	1,196	2,843	2,696	2,864	2,732
No. of Successful Outcomes	2,995	3,199	3,176	3,040	3,158	3,202	842	1,859	1,613	1,913	1,902
Percent Successful Outcomes	83.9%	82.9%	84.7%	83.9%	85.3%	86.6%	70.4%	66.9%	59.8%	66.8%	69.6%

#### • The percentage of successful outcomes equaled 66.8% in FY 2006 and 69.6% in FY 2007


<sup>\*</sup> Measurement of Successful Outcomes: Of families with 12 months placements data (known), the number and percent in which no child was placed in foster care during the subsequent 12-months.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Data are not complete due to a conversion process. Contract capacity reduced by 204 from FY 2002. In 2004 contract capacity reduced an additional 968 from FY 2003.

<sup>+ 12-</sup>month follow-up period is not completed yet.

# STATEWIDE FAMILIES FIRST SERVICES

Relative Placements As A Percentage Of 12-Month Successful Program Outcomes\*



<sup>•</sup> In FY 2007, 15.6% of successful program outcomes were relative placements.

<sup>\*</sup> Successful outcome is defined as those families where no child was placed in foster care during the 12-month follow-up period.

Note: \*\* Of all successful outcomes in FY 2006, 10.8% WERE IN 'Relative Placements'. Complete fiscal year data are not available from FY 2003 through FY 2006.


\*\*\* 12months follow-up period is not completed.

ms/Welfare Reform/WDRM Report July-Sept.'08/48-families.xls

Comments: In FY 2003 contract capacity was reduced by 204 from FY 2002, and by a further 968 from FY 2003 to FY 2004.

#### PREVENTIVE SERVICES FOR FAMILIES: MONTHLY CASELOAD


Oct. 2007 - Sept. 2008



• The number of Preventive Services cases increased (108) between 10/07 and 9/08.

## ABUSE/NEGLECT CASELOAD (FOSTER CARE PROGRAM)

Oct. 2007 - Sept. 2008




<sup>•</sup> The abuse/neglect caseload has decreased by 825 (4.4%) between 10/07 to 09/08 (18,771 vs 17,946) during the same period relative placement has decreased by 293 or 4.15% (7,056 vs 6,763) and Out-of-Home Placement has decreased by 355 or 4.14 % (8,574 vs 8,219)...

<sup>\*</sup> Includes DHS foster home, private agency foster homes, DHS group homes, public shelter homes, residential care center, detention, jail, private institutions DHS training school, DHS camp, mental health facility, court treatment facility, out-of-state placement and Arbor Heights.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes Independent Living, boarding school, runaway service facility and AWOL.

# STATEWIDE ABUSE/NEGLECT CASELOAD (FOSTER CARE PROGRAM) 1999 - 2008



• In the last 10 years the abuse/neglect caseload (Foster Care Program) decreased by 5.2%. During that same time, relative placements increased by 18.6% and out-of-home placements decreased by 21.67%.


<sup>\*</sup> Includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, DHS group homes, public shelter homes, residential care centers, detention facility, jail private institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facility, court treatment facility, out-of-state placements and Arbor Heights.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes Independent Living, boarding school, runaway services and AWOL.

<sup>+</sup> Excludes OTI (neglect) and non-ward (non-delinquency) population.

## ABUSE/NEGLECT OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS

Foster Care Program:Oct. 2007 - Sept. 2008



<sup>•</sup> The out-of-home population decreased by 355 or 4.14% from 10/07 to 9/08 (8,574/s 8,219).

 $\boxtimes$ 

<u>Note</u>: Family foster care includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, group homes (DHS), public shelter homes. Institutions include private child care institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facilities, court treatment facilities and Arbor Heights. Other includes residential care centers, detention facilities, jail and out-of-state placements.


# ABUSE/NEGLECT OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS (FOSTER CARE PROGRAM)

	COUNTY	07/08	08/08	09/08
1	Alcona	3	3	3
2	Alger	2	2	2
3	Allegan	67	69	53
4	Alpena	26	25	25
5	Antrim	38	34	33
6	Arenac	17	16	16
7	Baraga	2	2	2
8	Barry	20	19	20
9	Bay	48	52	51
10	Benzie	0	0	6
11	Berrien	269	268	261
12	Branch	33	27	31
13	Calhoun	159	160	156
14	Cass	92	86	84
15	Charlevoix	16	18	18
16	Cheboygan	35	29	29
17	Chippewa	14	15	13
18	Clare	22	24	25
19	Clinton	92	85	84
20	Crawford	24	22	27
21	Delta	13	12	11
22	Dickinson	29	27	26
23	Eaton	51	52	54
24	Emmet	16	16	12
25	Genesee	665	666	637
26	Gladwin	8	9	8
27	Gogebic	20	22	21
28	Grand Traverse	76	77	78
29	Gratiot	38	36	42
30	Hillsdale	35	34	34
31	Houghton	13	11	9
32	Huron	8	9	10
33	Ingham	340	331	329
34	Ionia	51	56	54
35	losco	24	22	23
36	Iron	13	12	11
37	Isabella	77	69	69
38	Jackson	153	153	149
39	Kalamazoo	158	151	143
40	Kalkaska	35	34	32
41	Kent	585	573	554
42	Keweenaw	3	3	4

COUNTY	07/08	08/08	09/08
43 Lake	13	14	13
44 Lapeer	22	15	16
45 Leelanau	6	6	5
46 Lenawee	97	100	97
47 Livingston	25	27	32
48 Luce	10	9	9
49 Mackinac	2	2	2
50 Macomb	501	498	497
51 Manistee	7	7	7
52 Marquette	33	39	40
53 Mason	30	27	28
54 Mecosta	41	43	46
55 Menominee	20	19	18
56 Midland	51	59	57
57 Missaukee	8	8	8
58 Monroe	75	66	66
59 Montcalm	49	49	45
60 Montmorency	1	0	0
61 Muskegon	246	252	241
62 Newaygo	32	38	34
63 Oakland	610	601	596
64 Oceana	13	12	12
65 Ogemaw	11	10	10
66 Ontonogon	6	6	6
67 Osceola	11	11	10
68 Oscoda	3	5	5
69 Otsego	17	18	15
70 Ottawa	93	90	82
71 Presque Isle	5	6	9
72 Roscommon	20	20	22
73 Saginaw	213	201	189
74 St. Clair	141	137	141
75 St. Joseph	105	109	110
76 Sanilac	34	38	38
77 Schoolcraft	4	4	5
78 Shiawassee	66	65	65
79 Tuscola	36	43	44
80 Van Buren	50	56	49
81 Washtenaw	94	94	98
82 Wayne	2,228	2,217	2,165
83 Wexford	38	37	38
STATE TOTAL	8,457	8,389	8,219

# ABUSE/NEGLECT OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS

Foster Care Program: 1999 - 2008




<sup>•</sup> The out-of-home population decreased by 2,274 or 21.7% between 9/99 and 9/08 (10,493/s. 8,219).

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Note</u>: Family foster care includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, group homes (DHS), public shelter homes. Institutions include private child care institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facilities, court treatment facilities and Arbor Heights. Other includes residential care centers, detention facilities, jail and out-of-state placements.

# **ADOPTIVE PLACEMENTS**

Number Of Placements Per Fiscal Year: 1998 - 2007




<sup>•</sup> Beginning in FY 1998, DHS started reporting finalized\* adoptive placements. Adoptive placements increased by 13 (0.5%) from FY 2006 to FY 2007 (2,589 vs. 2,602).

ms/Welfare Reform/WRDM Report July-Sept. '08/55-aplace/10-30-'08

<sup>\*</sup> The court has issued a final order confirming the adoption and has dismissed court wardship

# **ADOPTION SUBSIDY CASES**


#### Point-In-Time Data



The number of Adoption Subsidy cases increased by 77.5% from 9/98 to 9/07.
 Beginning in FY 1998, state funded subsidy cases are funded by TANF funds and/or GF/GP.

# **ADOPTION SUBSIDY EXPENSES**

By Program By Fiscal Year: 1998-2007




Title IV-E	\$91.14	\$103.40	\$119.23	\$137.41	\$150.30	\$161.87	\$166.48	\$173.84	\$173.84	\$177.84
TANF	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.95	19.89	22.87	25.47	28.49
State Funds	0.00	0.00	1.30	0.25	1.99	3.51	4.59	5.22	5.73	6.38
Medical	5.04	6.24	5.90	7.17	8.07	7.69	6.80	7.07	9.25	10.00
Total	\$96.18	\$109.64	\$126.43	\$144.83	\$160.36	\$192.02	\$197.76	\$209.00	\$214.29	\$222.71

 Adoption Subsidy expenses increased 131.6% from FY 1998 to FY 2007. The Title IV-E Subsidy Program received 56.4% federal funds in FY 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Note</u>: Title IV-E, TANF and state funds refer to the eligibility categories of children covered by the Adoption Support Subsidy Program. The Title IV-E Subsidy Program received 56.4% federal funds in FY 2007. Effective October 1, 1997, State Support and Medical subsidy programs are funded by TANF and/or state funds.

# **JUVENILE JUSTICE CASELOAD +**

Oct. 2007 - Sept. 2008



• The total Juvenile Justice caseload decreased by 18.03%(220 cases) from 10/07 to 9/08 (1,220 vs. 1,000).


<sup>\*</sup> Includes DHS foster home, private agency foster homes, DHS group homes, public shelter homes, residential care center, detention, jail, private institution. DHS training school, DHS camp, mental health facility, court treatment facility, out-of-state placement and Arbor Heights.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes Independence Living, boarding school, runaway service facility and AWOL.

<sup>+</sup> Excludes OTI (Juvenile Justice) and non-ward with Juvenile Justice petition filed.

# **JUVENILE JUSTICE CASELOAD\***

1999 - 2008



• The total Juvenile Justice caseload decreased by 4,737 (82.6%) between 9/99 and 9/08 (5,73vs. 1,000). Out-of-home placements decreased by 2,889 (82.7%) and own home/legal guardian placements decreased by 1,235 (82.1%). The drop the juvenile justice caseload occurred in Wayne County because responsibility for this population was transferred fro DHS to the county of Wayne.


<sup>\*</sup> Excludes OTI (delinquency) and non-ward (delinquency petition).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, DHS group homes, public shelter homes, residential care centers, detention facility, jail, private institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facility, court treatment facility, out-of-state placements and Arbor heights.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Includes Independent Living, boarding school, runaway services and AWOL.

# **JUVENILE JUSTICE OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS\***

Oct. 2007 - Sept. 2008



• The number of out-of-home placements decreased by 175 or 22.46% from 10/07 to 9/08 (779/s.604).

<sup>\*</sup> Family foster care includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, group homes (DHS), public shelter homes. Institutions include private child care institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facilities, court treatment facilities and Arbor Heights. Other includes residential care centers, detention facilities, jail and out-of-state placements.

# JUVENILE JUSTICE OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS


	COUNTY	07/08	08/08	09/08
1	Alcona	1	1	1
2	Alger	1	0	0
3	Allegan	14	10	8
4	Alpena	3	5	5
5	Antrim	2	2	2
6	Arenac	9	9	10
7	Baraga	4	5	6
8	Barry	1	1	1
9	Bay	1	1	1
10	Benzie	0	0	0
11	Berrien	5	5	4
12	Branch	0	0	0
13	Calhoun	3	5	5
14	Cass	4	4	5
15	Charlevoix	6	4	4
16	Cheboygan	4	4	2
17	Chippewa	13	11	10
18	Clare	2	2	2
19	Clinton	2	2	2
20	Crawford	5	4	3
21	Delta	2	3	3
22	Dickinson	5	4	5
23	Eaton	8	10	10
24	Emmet	4	4	4
25	Genesee	33	32	30
26	Gladwin	4	4	3
27	Gogebic	12	14	12
28	Grand Traverse	18	19	19
29	Gratiot	2	1	1
30	Hillsdale	2	3	2
31	Houghton	0	0	0
32	Huron	14	13	11
33	Ingham	34	32	34
34	Ionia	4	4	4
35	losco	6	6	5
36	Iron	3	3	2
37	Isabella	3	3	3
38	Jackson	14	13	13
39	Kalamazoo	3	1	0
40	Kalkaska	1	1	1
41	Kent	29	26	22
42	Keweenaw	1	1	1

COUNTY	07/08	08/08	09/08
43 Lake	1	1	1
44 Lapeer	0	0	0
45 Leelanau	2	2	2
46 Lenawee	0	1	0
47 Livingston	18	17	17
48 Luce	1	1	1
49 Mackinac	1	1	1
50 Macomb	44	41	36
51 Manistee	1	2	1
52 Marquette	0	0	0
53 Mason	0	0	0
54 Mecosta	3	4	3
55 Menominee	9	9	9
56 Midland	5	5	5
57 Missaukee	8	8	8
58 Monroe	5	5	5
59 Montcalm	2	2	2
60 Montmorency	0	0	0
61 Muskegon	5	5	5
62 Newaygo	15	15	15
63 Oakland	94	86	86
64 Oceana	0	0	0
65 Ogemaw	4	4	4
66 Ontonogon	2	2	2
67 Osceola	2	2	2
68 Oscoda	0	0	0
69 Otsego	2	2	1
70 Ottawa	0	2	1
71 Presque Isle	5	6	6
72 Roscommon	2	2	2
73 Saginaw	13	15	11
74 St. Clair	34	33	33
75 St. Joseph	1	1	1
76 Sanilac	5	5	6
77 Schoolcraft	4	2	2
78 Shiawassee	1	1	1
79 Tuscola	1	1	1
80 Van Buren	24	24	24
81 Washtenaw	5	7	6
82 Wayne	38	38	35
83 Wexford	14	14	13
STATE TOTAL	653	638	604

[jr]ms/Welfare Reform/WRMD Report July-Sept. 08/61-juvenile1

# **JUVENILE JUSTICE OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS\***

1999 - 2008



• The number of out-of-home placements decreased by 2,885 or 82.6% from 9/99 to 9/08 (3,495vs.604). This drop occurred because the responsibility for the juvenile justice population in Wayne County was transferred from FIA to the county of Wayne.

<sup>\*</sup> Family foster care includes DHS foster homes, private agency foster homes, group homes (DHS), public shelter homes. Institutions include private child care institutions, DHS training schools, DHS camps, mental health facilities, court treatment facilities and Arbor Heights. Other includes residential care centers, detention facilities, jail and out-of-state placements.

# **EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING**

Overview	64
Federal Participation Rate Targets	66
Work First Referrals by County	67
Work First Referrals, Monthly Data	
Work First Referrals 2003 – 2008	71
FIP Recipients Who Are Active Work First, Monthly Data	
FIP Recipients Who Are Active Work First 2001 – 2008	
Active Work First Participants by County	74
Closures to Employment-Related Sanctions	77
Closures to Employment-Related Sanctions by County	78

# EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAMS Overview

Family Independence Program families with an adult who receives assistance are required to participate in Michigan Works! Agency (MWA) employment and training programs to increase their employability and find employment, unless they are temporarily deferred. Deferred FIP clients may volunteer to participate in Work First. The employment program components are:

- Work First/JET.
- Food Assistance Employment and Training Program.
- Employment-Related Activities.
- Employment Support Services.
- Family Support Services.
- Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) & Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
- Non-Cash Recipient Employment and Training Program.

WORK FIRST and JET are the employment programs for Family Independence Program applicants and recipients administered by the Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through local Michigan Works! Agencies (MWAs). FIP recipients are required to participate with the Work First/JET program unless they are exempt by law. The goal of all Work First/JET participation is FIP case closure due to self-sufficiency. MWAs continue to serve all Work First participants until their FIP case is closed by DHS, or until they are referred back to DHS due to failure to participate or becoming deferred. DHS will refer to Work First/JET every non-deferred mandatory participant except teen/minor parents attending GED or high school completion programs; Tribal Contractor or Refugee Contractor program, or Michigan Rehabilitation Services; and VISTA, Job Corps and AmeriCorps participants.

**Food Assistance Employment and Training Program:** The Food Assistance employment and training program serves Time-Limited Food Assistance-only recipients who are required to work or meet other requirements. The program is provided through the local Michigan Works! Agencies.

**Employment-Related Activities:** Adult Family Independence Program (FIP) recipients and Food Assistance-only recipients must participate in employment-related activities unless they are deferred. These activities are:

- Employment or self-employment at the applicable federal or state minimum wage for the required number of hours per week
- Full-time elementary or high school attendance by a 16-19 year old FIP dependent child or a teen parent

- Half-time school for Food Assistance-only clients
- 20 hours per week of unsubsidized employment, plus 10 hours of classes for high school or GED completion, for FIP adults
- 10 hours per week of unsubsidized employment, plus 10 hours of vocational or occupational training classes, plus 10 hours of study time, for FIP adults
- 30 hours per week in condensed vocational education
- Full-time internships, practicums and clinical experience
- Participation in VISTA, Job Corps or AmeriCorps
- Participation (20/30/35 hours per week) in a HUD or MSHDA transitional housing program

**Employment Support Services (ESS) and Emergency Employment Support Services** are available from DHS and the MWA to support a recipients' participation in employment and training activities. Emergency ESS are available from DHS for parents or caretaker relatives working at least 20 hours per week in families receiving child care, food assistance or Medicaid. Emergency ESS are available from the MWA for adults or caretaker relatives in families receiving child care or food assistance.

**Family Support Services** are available through DHS for FIP recipients who are participating in Work First or recipients who are deferred to prepare them for when their deferral ends. These are services not available through the Work First program, such as counseling and classes in life skills, which address family issues that will help families to self-sufficiency.

Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST) & Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP): All work eligible individuals (WEIs) and non WEIs receiving FIP or RAP are required to complete the FAST within 30 days and the FSSP within 60 days of case opening or member activation. The FSSP was created to allow DHS and their client service providers to share information about mutual clients for optimal case management with the goal of self-sufficiency whenever possible. The FAST is a Web-based initial screening tool and it's completion is the client's first required work related activity.

**Non-Cash Recipient Employment and Training Program:** Adults or caretaker relatives in families receiving child care, Food Assistance or Medicaid are eligible for employment and training activities from the MWA. The MWAs have lists of potentially eligible participants from DHS and will use them for outreach.

# FAMILY INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM FEDERAL PARTICIPATION RATE TARGETS AND MICHIGAN ADJUSTED AND ACTUAL PARTICIPATION RATES

<u>Participation Rates</u>: Federal law requires that states receiving funding under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families block grant (TANF) must meet work participation rates for the cash assistance caseload. States must achieve the following minimum work participation rates (as a percentage of the total cash assistance caseload). Federal work participation rate targets were established with the passage of welfare reform. These rates were subsequently adjusted to recognize the reductions in public assistance caseloads that states have experienced since 1995 and 2005. The following chart displays both Federal rates and adjusted target rates along with Michigan's actual participation rates.

	FED	ERAL	TAR	GET	MICHIGAN				
FISCAL	All Fa	milies	Two-P	arent	ADJUSTE	D TARGET	ACTUAL PARTICIPATION RATES		
YEAR	Percent	Hours Per Week	Percent	Hours Per Week	All Families	Two-Parent Families	All Families	Two-Parent Families	
1998	30%	20	75%	35	5.2%	38.4%	49.2%	63.9%	
1999	35%	25	90%	35	0.0%	15.2%	43.8%	69.1%	
2000	40%	30	90%	35	0.0%	4.1%	36.4%	61.7%	
2001	45%	30	90%	35	0.0%	5.0%	33.8%	53.5%	
2002	50%	30	90%	35	0.0%	4.6%	28.9%	46.5%	
2003	50%	30	90%	35	0.0%	6.4%	25.3%	36.2%	
2004	50%	30	90%	35	0.0%	6.0%	24.5%	35.7%	
2005	50%	30	90%	35	0.0%	7.6%	22.0%	30.4%	
2006**	50%	30	90%	35	0.0%	8.2%	21.6%	26.2%	
2007	50%	30	NA	NA	44.3%*	NA	28.0%	NA	
2008ytd***	50%	30	NA	NA	50.0%	NA	31.6 %	NA	

<sup>\*</sup>HHS disallowed DHS original methodology. 44.3% is the recalculated rate. \*\*\* ytd is the average of 10/07 - 05/08.

Re-authorization of the original TANF legislation occurred on 10/1/06.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Original TANF participation rates and caseload credits remained in effect until 9/30/06.

# WORK FIRST REFERRALS County Totals

	County	10/07	11/07	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08	Totals YTD
	Alcona	6	2	2	2	1	7	2	3	1	0	6	5	27
2 /	Alger	2	5	5	3	0	1	1	4	1	2	5	1	18
3 /	Allegan	28	48	20	34	25	56	29	20	27	36	40	38	305
4 /	Alpena	27	31	20	28	21	20	15	11	26	20	20	13	174
5 /	Antrim	2	0	5	2	3	0	1	2	0	3	0	1	7
6 /	Arenac	11	7	9	12	12	11	13	6	4	9	8	5	80
	Baraga	11	3	2	10	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	7	49
8	Barry	23	23	17	40	21	26	11	12	29	20	23	26	208
9 1	Bay	72	64	58	79	60	85	85	73	102	75	78	80	717
10 I	Benzie	3	8	11	7	4	10	6	2	3	2	3	12	49
11	Berrien	124	135	99	116	113	124	124	99	111	116	106	135	1,044
12	Branch	37	56	49	35	36	56	43	32	33	37	34	42	348
13 (	Calhoun	170	141	171	177	141	167	157	138	181	145	4	na	1,110
14 (	Cass	24	30	19	26	31	26	23	26	31	30	26	30	249
15 (	Charlevoix						•							
16 (	Cheboygan	17	21	21	10	10	15	8	5	3	8	4	7	70
17 (	Chippewa	26	16	14	21	16	19	34	18	17	16	31	25	197
18 (	Clare	43	44	25	47	34	40	22	14	34	42	24	35	292
19 (	Clinton	6	4	8	11	10	4	12	12	12	13	10	10	94
	Crawford	10	10	10	15	6	12	10	6	8	4	3	14	78
	Delta	18	19	14	11	16	15	16	29	16	20	21	21	165
22 [	Dickinson	5	8	2	6	6	3	5	5	8	3	4	4	44
	Eaton	18	22	42	31	32	38	30	28	25	37	31	25	277
	Emmet	14	7	13	13	14	16	7	3	9	9	4	3	78
25 (	Genesee	532	437	427	454	446	458	451	429	491	451	453	539	4,172
	Gladwin	26	16	9	19	14	15	26	9	7	13	21	18	142
	Gogebic	14	16	18	8	10	8	17	6	7	19	23	15	113
28 (	Grand Traverse	28	13	9	15	12	18	24	24	23	15	14	28	173
29 (	Gratiot	23	20	20	15	45	30	29	23	16	23	24	13	218
	Hillsdale	19	26	32	33	29	35	17	23	31	18	27	30	243
	Houghton	6	7	7	5	4	4	10	6	9	8	3	3	52
	Huron	11	13	9	13	13	9	12	10	10	6	5	10	88
	Ingham	139	118	122	147	124	140	135	127	154	127	136	123	1,213
	lonia	35	23	26	24	29	43	23	27	29	24	37	31	267
	losco	13	11	14	24	14	11	12	20	10	10	13	12	126
	Iron	7	11	9	8	8	2	8	7	6	3	8	6	56
	Isabella	29	28	28	37	19	45	30	21	23	31	27	20	253
	Jackson	99	88	77	108	89	106	113	77	110	115	120	109	947
39 I	Kalamazoo	178	167	172	179	130	153	176	151	190	162	165	178	1,484
40 I	Kalkaska	6	10	8	9	13	10	6	10	6	7	10	7	78
41 I	Kent	341	270	321	306	308	367	338	341	366	342	319	338	3,025
42 I	Keweenaw	0	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4

County Totals

	County	10/07	11/07	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08	Totals YTD
43	Lake	6	10	9	13	10	18	4	5	6	4	10	10	80
44	Lapeer	20	24	19	16	31	28	13	23	15	24	19	23	192
45	Leelanau													
46	Lenawee	32	41	29	26	45	31	33	23	25	36	34	33	286
47	Livingstor	11	11	15	12	12	11	14	13	5	19	11	24	121
48	Luce	8	9	7	8	6	3	12	10	2	10	4	5	60
49	Mackinac	4	2	3	5	4	3	2	4	1	2	1	2	24
50	Macomb	258	235	232	288	250	253	312	306	244	290	258	231	2,432
51	Manistee	25	9	28	19	13	15	22	14	18	11	12	20	144
52	Marquette	10	16	15	22	15	12	15	9	24	17	14	20	148
53	Mason	13	21	15	11	17	12	15	16	9	8	7	18	113
54	Mecosta	30	20	29	20	32	31	23	14	25	58	23	26	252
55	Menominee	3	4	8	9	7	6	4	3	8	5	11	4	57
56	Midland	27	27	22	41	29	29	32	31	22	36	18	22	260
57	Missaukee													
58	Monroe	34	23	55	48	33	47	43	32	49	28	44	51	375
59	Montcalm	27	31	39	45	32	39	26	29	16	31	17	26	261
60	Montmorency	2	5	8	5	2	1	0	3	1	4	3	3	22
61	Muskegon	268	227	236	248	254	262	259	250	290	249	237	266	2,315
62	Newaygo	30	38	26	35	19	21	25	17	20	10	22	22	191
63	Oakland	346	274	292	267	315	280	305	247	277	271	258	303	2,523
64	Oceana	22	26	21	38	28	23	27	32	0	11	23	17	199
65	Ogemaw	27	13	17	16	9	20	13	7	29	11	15	7	127
66	Ontonagon	7	3	4	5	4	2	8	3	12	8	1	11	54
67	Osceola													0
68	Oscoda	6	6	5	8	1	5	1	4	6	5	7	9	46
69	Otsego	11	8	7	11	9	15	10	8	10	8	6	16	93
70	Ottawa	42	32	35	29	27	28	32	29	52	38	37	42	314
71	Presque Isle	2	4	7	7	5	4	0	3	2	7	5	2	35
72	Roscommon	13	14	18	18	9	16	12	14	21	15	10	10	125
73	Saginaw	217	199	191	242	193	230	230	203	224	194	253	232	2,001
74	St. Clair	90	70	91	121	102	162	95	110	131	99	113	113	1,046
75	St. Joseph	37	35	30	46	36	39	34	25	26	34	32	33	305
76	Sanilac	10	4	23	9	10	20	21	16	14	17	13	18	138
77	Schoolcraft	4	2	5	7	4	5	0	2	2	3	5	5	33
78	Shiawassee	31	49	47	32	35	56	30	32	49	37	36	50	357
79	Tuscola	19	22	30	32	21	27	26	34	24	18	16	17	215
80	Van Buren	35	32	41	58	16	37	39	29	36	46	35	36	332
81	Washtenaw	137	108	108	104	98	85	92	84	100	102	96	94	855
82	Wayne	2,195	2,045	1,732	2,040	2,041	2,165	2,382	2,247	2,321	2,375	2,119	2,370	20,060
83	Wexford/Missaukee	25	24	25	24	28	26	38	44	31	25	29	29	274
	TOTALS	6,287	5,701	5,471	6,126	5,721	6,279	6,336	5,837	6,321	6,192	5,748	6,239	72,258


Data Source: RD-470.

Wayne Totals

	WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	10/07	1107	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08	Totals YTD
	ZONE VIII													
13	Redford	Closed												
14	Lincoln Park	Closed												
15	Greydale	192	213	159	168	181	180	207	278	249	233	216	220	1,932
16	Romulus	Closed												
17	Joy/Greenfield	175	143	113	165	147	180	181	191	159	177	168	181	1,549
18	Taylor	98	80	68	101	89	98	103	97	119	117	123	121	968
19	Inkster	162	101	87	134	129	140	150	136	126	250	127	170	1,362
32	Tireman	Closed	0											
35	Schoolcraft	220	184	242	248	235	257	287	262	288	253	231	266	2,327
39	Fullerton/Jeffries	Closed	0											
	TOTAL	847	721	669	816	781	855	928	964	941	1,030	865	958	8,138
	ZONE IX													
41	Fort/Wayne	117	109	90	128	110	145	125	116	121	127	137	134	1,143
43	Glendale/Trumbull	183	147	134	157	198	198	172	186	155	148	143	184	1,541
49	Grand River/Warren	251	184	230	220	211	213	269	212	250	226	215	259	2,075
55	Hamtramck	53	81	50	76	66	71	54	59	72	69	60	72	599
56	Highland Park	Closed	0											
57	Medbury	161	234	119	159	162	161	254	196	199	195	147	188	1,661
58	Lafayette	63	55	37	79	63	65	91	66	71	107	87	90	719
59	McNichols/Goddard	110	100	119	91	113	118	129	100	122	94	117	135	1,019
73	Forest/Ellery	121	195	119	93	118	125	143	170	115	137	120	141	1,162
74	Kercheval/Towns	Closed	0											
76	Maddelein	284	217	164	218	215	213	216	174	271	241	226	207	1,981
79	Conner/Warren	Closed	0											
80	Lafayette/ Hospital Corridor	N/A												
	TOTAL	1,343	1,322	1,062	1,221	1,256	1,309	1,453	1,279	1,376	1,344	1,252	1,410	7,141
99	Other	1	4	6	3	4	1	1	4	4	1	2	2	33
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTALS	2,191	2,047	1,737	2,040	2,041	2,165	2,382	2,247	2,321	2,375	2,119	2,370	15,312

The monthly figure reflects the referral status at the end of the month. Data source: RD-470.


## **Monthly Total**



• The WORK FIRST program began October 1, 1994.

Data Source: RD-470.

Point-in-Time Data 2003 - 2008




• The WORK FIRST program began October 1, 1994, but comparable data are not available for 1994 - 1996.

Data Source: RD-470.

## FIP RECIPIENTS WHO ARE ACTIVE WORK FIRST

Statewide Cumulative Monthly Total - Duplicated Count




• The WORK FIRST program began October 1, 1994.

Data Source: RD-432.

## FIP RECIPIENTS WHO ARE ACTIVE WORK FIRST

Statewide Cumulative Yearly Total - Duplicated Count 2001 - 2008



• The WORK FIRST program began October 1, 1994.

Data Source: RD-432.

# ACTIVE WORK FIRST PARTICIPANTS County Totals

County		10/07	11/07	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08
1 Alcona		15	12	13	14	11	12	12	12	10	8	10	11
2 Alger		11	14	9	9	3	2	2	6	5	4	7	5
3 Allegan	1	81	111	95	107	107	133	125	115	109	108	103	115
4 Alpena		74	83	81	78	70	69	64	59	82	81	76	73
5 Antrim		2	2	6	7	5	1	2	4	2	3	2	1
6 Arenac	;	32	33	38	40	40	44	46	24	26	31	35	34
7 Baraga	1	27	27	28	27	20	18	19	17	18	14	14	19
8 Barry		79	78	70	84	68	73	57	54	74	81	82	82
9 Bay		260	252	256	266	268	269	285	276	324	307	263	281
10 Benzie		23	31	29	30	32	34	29	24	22	21	17	26
11 Berrien		421	460	432	428	446	443	446	462	507	481	453	461
12 Branch		161	186	185	145	146	157	156	150	149	148	127	143
13 Calhou	n	919	920	960	959	876	819	784	735	801	782	13	5
14 Cass		118	112	95	92	93	87	95	88	97	93	99	114
15 Charley													1
16 Cheboy	/gan	40	51	56	45	38	35	27	20	15	17	13	15
17 Chippe	wa	70	63	59	70	62	65	87	99	96	92	88	91
18 Clare		102	111	102	115	109	111	78	64	74	86	78	86
19 Clinton		43	45	42	39	39	32	43	45	36	33	31	29
20 Crawfo	rd	29	28	31	43	35	41	43	39	29	20	15	29
21 Delta		33	42	41	32	40	49	48	60	58	57	59	53
22 Dickins	on	22	14	14	13	11	7	10	8	12	7	7	5
23 Eaton		175	163	172	174	150	155	136	130	111	103	93	91
24 Emmet		28	20	28	20	26	30	15	10	14	13	12	10
25 Genese	ee	3,192	3,186	3,150	3,155	3,132	3,112	3,017	2,976	3,099	2,959	2,839	2,974
26 Gladwii		64	65	55	49	44	49	53	46	33	32	36	36
27 Gogebi	ic	106	112	121	107	100	92	95	90	90	101	99	108
	Traverse	74	62	50	53	43	56	56	66	67	48	43	51
29 Gratiot		126	112	109	91	112	103	99	94	94	77	73	63
30 Hillsdal		61	72	82	96	89	109	86	95	100	88	84	92
31 Hought	on	26	25	27	14	13	11	16	12	19	23	10	9
32 Huron		41	38	39	32	33	29	23	25	27	24	21	18
33 Ingham	1	651	622	606	578	559	529	488	475	525	502	467	473
34 Ionia		95	93	92	83	89	103	85	91	102	86	95	100
35 losco		51	51	54	63	58	54	53	62	67	62	49	48
36 Iron		38	42	42	36	39	30	29	31	28	24	28	19
37 Isabella		144	131	124	128	119	126	107	94	107	104	107	100
38 Jackso		389	399	389	401	377	367	368	338	364	366	364	382
39 Kalama	azoo	762	747	740	726	673	627	619	637	697	684	637	638
40 Kalkasl	ka	31	34	33	30	38	43	28	28	30	27	25	21
41 Kent		1,349	1,369	1,375	1,284	1,257	1,228	1,191	1,281	1,322	1,282	1,202	1,227
42 Keweei	naw	0	0	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0

A participant is a client that has an active FIP case and also is a participant in Work First. Data Source: RD-432.

# ACTIVE WORK FIRST PARTICIPANTS County Totals

	County	10/07	11/07	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08
43	Lake	24	26	27	21	27	28	16	14	17	17	22	23
44	Lapeer	104	84	78	65	78	72	72	79	82	78	68	79
45	Leelanau				0								
46	Lenawee	121	135	122	101	111	109	110	100	98	95	86	93
47	Livingston	58	63	58	53	55	50	63	62	56	63	62	72
48	Luce	36	40	42	45	46	44	50	51	42	46	37	39
49	Mackinac	5	4	7	12	17	17	16	17	13	12	7	7
50	Macomb	1,305	1,333	1,358	1,342	1,309	1,303	1,369	1,442	1,480	1,473	1,348	1,266
51	Manistee	73	70	78	71	61	60	74	77	81	70	65	68
52	Marquette	24	33	37	40	44	25	25	25	43	40	29	32
53	Mason	59	64	60	52	60	54	46	50	43	33	34	45
54	Mecosta	77	66	73	58	69	70	63	48	67	108	86	81
55	Menominee	17	19	19	21	22	22	22	13	20	20	22	15
56	Midland	106	106	100	106	98	94	104	108	83	69	62	62
57	Missaukee												
58	Monroe	140	132	160	154	148	152	158	154	165	137	127	129
59	Montcalm	138	128	145	145	131	135	125	115	102	109	92	89
60	Montmorency	10	13	17	16	10	9	7	7	8	8	6	8
61	Muskegon	1,737	1,693	1,685	1,654	1,649	1,681	1,636	1,647	1,687	1,618	1,544	1,611
62	Newaygo	104	117	108	101	90	78	71	58	57	40	42	53
63	Oakland	1,606	1,581	1,528	1,425	1,423	1,358	1,343	1,308	1,351	1,281	1,152	1,190
64	Oceana	173	162	140	152	158	150	157	168	167	141	143	135
65	Ogemaw	75	73	76	68	55	62	62	51	56	48	41	33
66	Ontonagon	14	15	17	20	16	11	18	14	13	18	13	18
67	Osceola												
68	Oscoda	25	22	20	19	14	15	16	17	20	20	22	21
69	Otsego	29	29	32	37	39	44	47	36	40	34	28	40
70	Ottawa	133	138	127	107	96	70	69	68	97	95	82	80
71	Presque Isle	8	11	15	16	20	18	11	12	13	12	13	11
72	Roscommon	35	45	37	35	29	33	32	40	46	44	36	29
73	Saginaw	1,066	1,119	1,118	1,169	1,120	1,148	1,180	1,190	1,306	1,267	1,200	1,206
74	St. Clair	361	346	355	381	375	392	384	384	442	393	368	369
75	St. Joseph	81	91	92	114	124	124	129	128	123	125	118	123
76	Sanilac	40	32	45	41	31	42	47	49	42	42	42	48
77	Schoolcraft	13	12	14	16	15	15	14	12	11	11	14	14
78	Shiawassee	132	145	151	143	140	174	165	167	185	174	158	175
79	Tuscola	104	103	99	109	97	94	79	96	96	84	64	64
80	Van Buren	168	164	173	186	156	161	160	159	160	170	162	138
81	Washtenaw	592	582	545	502	458	432	405	410	439	421	369	345
82	Wayne	13,771	13,933	13,146	12,909	12,950	12,807	12,493	12,616	12,805	12,314	11,458	11,298
83	Wexford	116	112	103	97	100	99	106	118	119	91	73	77
	TOTALS	32,645	32,859	31,933	31,368	30,912	30,591	29,967	30,053	31,118	29,900	27,171	27,325

A participant is a client that has an active or inactive FIP case and also is a participant in Work First. Data Source: RD-432. -75-

# ACTIVE WORK FIRST PARTICIPANTS County Totals

WAYNE COUNTY DISTRICT OFFICES	10/07	11/07	12/07	1/08	2/08	3/08	4/08	5/08	6/08	7/08	8/08	9/08
ZONE VIII												
13 Redford	Closed											
14 Lincoln Park	Closed											
15 Greydale	1,273	1,332	1,250	1,191	1,178	1,149	1,139	1,218	1,248	1,167	1,087	1,074
16 Romulus	Closed											
17 Joy/Greenfield	842	798	707	749	759	780	818	858	833	826	794	768
18 Taylor	359	367	346	335	333	325	348	360	395	377	348	351
19 Inkster	865	843	773	787	779	759	735	734	726	787	716	656
32 Tireman	Closed											
35 Schoolcraft	1,931	1,867	1,779	1,683	1,722	1,685	1,609	1,609	1,629	1,563	1,469	1,436
39 Fullerton/Jeffries	Closed											
TOTAL	5,270	5,207	4,855	4,745	4,771	4,698	4,649	4,779	4,831	4,720	4,414	4,285
ZONE IX												
41 Fort/Wayne	694	681	616	597	554	574	582	608	621	581	564	545
43 Glendale	878	926	862	866	893	922	908	945	963	873	757	795
49 Grand River/Warren	1,764	1,697	1,645	1,634	1,634	1,591	1,537	1,536	1,556	1,490	1,394	1,363
55 Hamtramck	470	489	468	436	434	433	422	419	444	432	394	423
56 Highland Park	Closed											
57 Medbury	1,267	1,372	1,302	1,318	1,329	1,274	1,268	1,291	1,335	1,252	1,111	1,129
58 Lafayette	473	463	395	377	385	378	407	402	417	452	475	470
59 McNichols/Goddard	980	966	945	878	848	819	787	769	747	683	676	648
73 Forest/Ellery	709	771	790	713	723	686	691	740	723	671	605	619
74 Kercheval	Closed											
76 Maddelein	1,259	1,358	1,260	1,340	1,376	1,429	1,239	1,123	1,162	1,155	1,063	1,016
79 Warren/Conner	Closed											
80 Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A											
TOTAL	8,494	8,723	8,283	8,159	8,176	8,106	7,841	7,833	7,968	7,589	7,039	7,008
Wayne - Other	2	2	2	5	3	3	3	4	6	5	5	5
WAYNE CO. TOTALS	13,766	13,932	13,140	12,909	12,950	12,807	12,493	12,616	12,805	12,314	11,458	11,298

A participant is a client that has an active or inactive FIP case and also is a participant in Work First.

Data Source: RD-432.

## **CLOSURES TO EMPLOYMENT-RELATED SANCTIONS**

FY 1997 through FY 2007 NUMBER OF CLOSURES FOR:
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 19984,257
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 19994,319
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20006,663
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20017,360
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20028,542
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20038,204
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20048,644
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20059,171
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 20069,353
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 200719,417
Total closures for employment-related sanctions for FY 200820,637

## **FY 2008**

Closure Code	405	407	Monthly Total	Definition of Closure Codes
10/07	1,700	8	1,708	405* Case closure for failure to participate in employment-related
11/07	1,831	12	1,843	or self-sufficiency-related activities, or refusing suitable
12/07	1,574	32	1,606	Employment. First or second act of noncompliance.
1/08	1,563	23	1,586	
2/08	1,620	40	1,660	407* Case closure for failure to participate in employment or self-
3/08	1,580	15	1,595	sufficiency-related activities or refused suitable employment-
4/08	1,727	18	1,745	Third or higher act of noncompliance.
5/08	1,518	17	1,535	
6/08	1,650	20	1,670	
7/08	2,022	29	2,051	
8/08	1,811	31	1,842	
9/08	1,764	32	1,796	
FY 2008 Total	20,360	277	20,637	* Definitions of closer codes updated and revised effective 4-1-2007.  Data source: DQ- 212.

### MONTHLY CLOSURES TO EMPLOYMENT-RELATED SANCTIONS - COUNTY DATA

### July 2008

### County Closure Code → Total 1 Alcona 2 Alger 3 Allegan 4 Alpena 5 Antrim 6 Arenac 7 Baraga 8 Barry 9 Bay 10 Benzie 11 Berrien 12 Branch 13 Calhoun 14 Cass 15 Charlevoix 16 Cheboygan 17 Chippewa 18 Clare 19 Clinton 20 Crawford 21 Delta 22 Dickinson 23 Eaton 24 Emmet 25 Genesee 26 Gladwin 27 Gogebic 28 Grand Traverse 29 Gratiot 30 Hillsdale 31 Houghton 32 Huron 33 Ingham 34 Ionia 35 losco 36 Iron 37 Isabella 38 Jackson 39 Kalamazoo 40 Kalkaska 41 Kent

42 Keweenaw

### August 2008

403	404	405	407	Total
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	1	11
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	29	0	29
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	51	1	52
0	0	5	1	6
0	0	51	2	53
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	7	0	7
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	11	0	11
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	160	3	163
0	0	2	1	3
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	19	0	19
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	40	4	44
0	0	7	0	7
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	1	1	2
0	0	4	0	4
0	0	32	2	34
0	0	58	4	62
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	111	1	112
0	0	0	0	0

### September 2008

403	404	405	407	Total
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	0	10
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	3	1	4
0	0	25	1	26
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	40	3	43
0	0	8	0	8
0	0	54	2	56
0	0	7	0	7
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	7	1	8
0	0	9	0	9
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	7	0	7
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	112	4	116
0	0	8	0	8
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	4	0	4
0	0	8 5	0	8 5
0	0		0	3
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	49	0	49
0	0	10	0	10
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	6	2	8
0	0	23	3	26
0	0	58	4	62
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	111	3	114
0	0	0	0	0

### MONTHLY CLOSURES TO EMPLOYMENT-RELATED SANCTIONS - COUNTY DATA

v 20	

County	Closure Code →	403	404	405	407	Total
43	Lake	0	0	2	0	2
44 45	Lapeer Leelanau	0	0	8	0	8
46		0	0	17	0	17
47	Lenawee	0	0	4	0	4
48	Livingston Luce	0	0	0	0	0
49	Mackinac	0	0	1	0	1
50	Macomb	0	0	77	2	79
51	Manistee	0	0	5	0	5
52	Marquette	0	0	0	0	0
53	Mason	0	0	4	0	4
54	Mecosta	0	0	7	0	7
55	Menominee	0	0	3	0	3
56	Midland	0	0	14	0	14
57	Missaukee	0	0	5	0	5
58	Monroe	0	0	16	0	16
59	Montcalm	0	0	20	0	20
60	Montmorency	0	0	1	0	1
61	Muskegon	0	0	79	0	79
62	Newaygo	0	0	8	0	8
63	Oakland	0	0	84	0	84
64	Oceana	0	0	1	0	1
65	Ogemaw	0	0	2	0	2
66	Ontongon	0	0	0	1	1
67	Osceola	0	0	1	0	1
68	Oscoda	0	0	1	0	1
69	Otsego	0	0	3	0	3
70	Ottawa	0	0	18	0	18
71	Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0
72	Roscommon	0	0	2	0	2
73	Saginaw	0	0	46	0	46
74	St. Clair	0	0	73	0	73
75	St. Joseph	0	0	6	0	6
76	Sanilac	0	0	2	0	2
77	Schoolcraft	0	0	1	0	1
78	Shiawassee	0	0	11	2	13
79	Tuscola	0	0	3	1	4
80	Van Buren	0	0	9	1	10
81	Washtenaw	0	0	42	1	43
82	Wayne	0	0	682	0	682
83	Wexford/Missaukee	0	0	8	0	8
	TOTAL	0	0	2,022	29	2,051

### August 2008

403	404	405	407	Total
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	9	0	9
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	10	0	10
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	87	3	90
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	4	1	5
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	13	0	13
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	11	0	11
0	0	11	0	11
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	43	0	43
0	0	7	0	7
0	0	75	0	75
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	<u>2</u> 5	0	2
0	0		0	2 5
0	0	12	0	12
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	2	0	2
0	0	71	1	72
0	0	31	1	32
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	12	0	12
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	6	0	6
0	0	36	0	36
0	0	664	4	668
0	0	5	0	5
0	0	1,811	31	1,842

### September 2008

403	404	405	407	Total	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	2	1	3	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	7	0	7	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	75	1	76	
0	0	5	0	5	
0	0	7	0	7	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	5	0	5	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	4	0	4	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	6	0	6	
0	0	7	1	8	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	61	2	63	
0	0	9	1	10	
0	0	58	1	59	
0	0	2	0	2	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	4	0	4	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	7	0	7	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	3	0	3	
0	0	75	0	75	
0	0	38	0	38	
0	0	5	0	5	
0	0	3	0	3	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	3	0	3	
0	0	2	0	2	
0	0	11	0	11	
0	0	30	0	30	
0	0	716	1	717	
0	0	7	0	7	
0	0	1,764	32	1,796	

### MONTHLY CLOSURES TO EMPLOYMENT-RELATED SANCTIONS - COUNTY DATA

### July 2008

County Closure Code →	403	404	405	407	Total
	Wayn	e County	/ District	Offices	
Zone VIII					
13 Redford	0	0	0	0	0
14 Lincoln Park	0	0	0	0	0
15 Greydale	0	0	67	0	67
17 Joy/Greenfield	0	0	24	0	24
18 Taylor	0	0	40	0	40
19 Inkster	0	0	46	0	46
32 Tireman	0	0	0	0	0
35 Schoolcraft	0	0	75	0	75
39 Fullerton/Jeffries	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	252	0	252
Zone IX					
41 Fort/Wayne	0	0	36	0	36
43 Glendale	0	0	64	0	64
49 Grand River/Warren	0	0	64	0	64
55 Hamtramck	0	0	23	0	20
57 Medbury	0	0	68	0	68
58 Lafayette	0	0	20	0	20
59 McNichols/Goddard	0	0	30	0	30
73 Forest/Ellery	0	0	40	0	40
74 Kercheval/Townsend	closed	closed	closed	closed	closed
76 Gratiot/7 Mile	0	0	78	0	78
79 Conner/Warren	closed	closed	closed	closed	closed
80 Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	423	0	420
WAYNE - OTHER	0	0	7	0	7
WAYNE CO. TOTAL	0	0	682	0	679
OUTSTATE TOTAL	0	0	1,340	29	1,372

### August 2008

403	404	405	407	Total	
٧	Vayne Co	unty Dis	trict Offi	ces	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	54	0	54	
0	0	7	0	7	
0	0	39	0	39	
0	0	28	0	28	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	38	1	39	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	166	1	167	
0	0	29	0	29	
0	0	81	0	81	
0	0	67	0	67	
0	0	18	0	18	
0	0	66	0	66	
0	0	13	0	13	
0	0	53	0	53	
0	0	86	0	86	
closed	closed	closed	closed	closed	
0	0	72	3	72	
closed	closed	closed	closed	closed	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	485	3	485	
0	0	13	0	13	
0	0	664	4	665	
0	0	1,147	27	1,177	

### September 2008

403	404	405	407	Total
V	Vayne Co	ounty Dis	trict Offi	ces
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	89	1	90
0	0	30	0	30
0	0	35	0	35
0	0	72	0	72
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	72	0	72
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	298	1	299
0	0	38	0	38
0	0	54	0	54
0	0	42	0	42
0	0	15	0	15
0	0	69	0	69
0	0	12	0	12
0	0	57 0		57
0	0	77	0	77
closed	closed	closed	closed	closed
0	0	51	0	51
closed	closed	closed	closed	closed
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	415	0	415
0	0	3	0	3
0	0	716	1	717
0	0	1,048	31	1,079

[lee]sanctionsfy2008.xls (4th quarter-2008)/09-28-2008

## **POVERTY DATA**

Poverty Thresholds	82
Poverty Guidelines	83

## CENSUS BUREAU POVERTY THRESHOLDS\* 1992 - 2009

Family Size	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	\$7,143	\$7,363	\$7,547	\$7,763	\$7,995	\$8,183	\$8,316	\$8,501	\$8,794
2	\$9,137	\$9,414	\$9,661	\$9,933	\$10,233	\$10,473	\$10,634	\$10,869	\$11,239
3	\$11,186	\$11,522	\$11,821	\$12,158	\$12,516	\$12,802	\$13,003	\$13,290	\$13,738
4	\$14,335	\$14,763	\$15,141	\$15,569	\$16,036	\$16,400	\$16,660	\$17,029	\$17,603
5	\$16,952	\$17,449	\$17,900	\$18,408	\$18,952	\$19,380	\$19,680	\$20,127	\$20,819
6	\$19,137	\$19,718	\$20,235	\$20,804	\$21,389	\$21,886	\$22,228	\$22,727	\$23,528
7	\$21,594	\$22,383	\$22,923	\$23,552	\$24,268	\$24,802	\$25,257	\$25,912	\$26,754
8	\$24,053	\$24,838	\$25,427	\$26,237	\$27,091	\$27,593	\$28,166	\$28,967	\$29,701

Family Size	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007**	2008**	2009**
1	\$9,039	\$9,183	\$9,393	\$9,645	\$9,973	\$10,294	\$10,590	\$11,067	\$11,443
2	\$11,569	\$11,756	\$12,015	\$12,334	\$12,755	\$13,167	\$13,540	\$14,149	\$14,630
3	\$14,128	\$14,348	\$14,680	\$15,067	\$15,577	\$16,079	\$16,530	\$17,274	\$17,861
4	\$18,104	\$18,392	\$18,810	\$19,307	\$19,971	\$20,614	\$21,203	\$22,157	\$22,910
5	\$21,405	\$21,744	\$22,245	\$22,831	\$23,613	\$24,382	\$25,080	\$26,209	\$27,100
6	\$24,195	\$24,576	\$25,122	\$25,788	\$26,683	\$27,560	\$28,323	\$29,598	\$30,604
7	\$27,517	\$28,001	\$28,544	\$29,236	\$30,249	\$31,205	\$32,233	\$33,683	\$34,829
8	\$30,627	\$30,907	\$31,589	\$32,641	\$33,610	\$34,774	\$35,816	\$37,428	\$38,700

<sup>\*</sup> Poverty Thresholds: The poverty thresholds for the United States are computed annually by the Bureau of the Census as a means for counting the nation's low-income population. The poverty thresholds form the basis for all other poverty levels used by the Federal Government including the poverty income guidelines (discussed on the following page). The poverty thresholds are generally used by the Department of Human Services and others for determining the number of people in poverty and for presenting data that classify low-income people by type of residence, race, and other social, economic and demographic characteristics. The poverty thresholds are updated annually by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index. The Department of Human Services does not calculate separate poverty thresholds for Michigan. However, for budget and program purposes DHS does calculate poverty thresholds for future years based on projected increases in the CPI-U (for all urban consumers).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Figures for CY 2007 are FINAL Census Bureau amounts released in August 2008. CY 2008 and CY 2009 figures are based on U.S. CPI-U increases of 4.5% in 2008, and 3.4% in 2009. I.e. Fall RSQE forecast of the U.S. CPI-U.

# POVERTY INCOME GUIDELINES FOR ALL STATES AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA\*

(Except Alaska and Hawaii) 1992 - 2009

Family Size	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	6,810	6,970	7,360	7,470	\$7,740	\$7,890	\$8,050	\$8,240	\$8,350
2	9,190	9,430	9,840	10,030	\$10,360	\$10,610	\$10,850	\$11,060	\$11,250
3	11,570	11,890	12,320	12,590	\$12,980	\$13,330	\$13,650	\$13,880	\$14,150
4	13,950	14,350	14,800	15,150	\$15,600	\$16,050	\$16,450	\$16,700	\$17,050
5	16,330	16,810	17,280	17,710	\$18,220	\$18,770	\$19,250	\$19,520	\$19,950
6	18,710	19,270	19,760	20,270	\$20,840	\$21,490	\$22,050	\$22,340	\$22,850
7	21,090	21,730	22,240	22,830	\$23,460	\$24,210	\$24,850	\$25,160	\$25,750
8	23,470	24,190	24,720	25,390	\$26,080	\$26,930	\$27,650	\$27,980	\$28,650

Family Size	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008**	2009**
1	\$8,590	\$8,860	\$8,980	\$9,310	\$9,570	\$9,800	\$10,210	\$10,400	\$10,920
2	\$11,610	\$11,940	\$12,120	\$12,490	\$12,830	\$13,200	\$13,690	\$14,000	\$14,680
3	\$14,630	\$15,020	\$15,260	\$15,670	\$16,090	\$16,600	\$17,170	\$17,600	\$18,440
4	\$17,650	\$18,100	\$18,400	\$18,850	\$19,350	\$20,000	\$20,650	\$21,200	\$22,200
5	\$20,670	\$21,180	\$21,540	\$22,030	\$22,610	\$23,400	\$24,130	\$24,800	\$25,960
6	\$23,690	\$24,260	\$24,680	\$25,210	\$25,870	\$26,800	\$27,610	\$28,400	\$29,720
7	\$26,710	\$27,340	\$27,820	\$28,390	\$29,130	\$30,200	\$31,090	\$32,000	\$33,480
8	\$29,730	\$30,420	\$30,960	\$31,570	\$32,390	\$33,600	\$34,570	\$35,600	\$37,240

<sup>\*</sup> The <u>Federal Poverty Income Guidelines</u> (above) are a simplified version of the Federal Government's official statistical poverty thresholds (previous page). Developed and issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), they are used by the Department of Human Services and others for administrative purposes such as determining whether a person or family is financially eligible for assistance or services under a particular Federal program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Final figures for CY 2008 were published in the Federal Register on January 23, 2008 (Volume 73, Number 15), pages 3971-3972.

<sup>\*\*</sup> CY 2009 Poverty Income Guidelines are Budget Division projections (October 2008).